arXiv:1601.06779v2 [math.OA] 25 Feb 2016

Non-Commutative Stochastic Independence and Cumulants

Sarah Manzel*
Michael Schürmann*
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Greifswald
Walther-Rathenau-Straße 47
17487 Greifswald, Germany

February 26, 2016

Abstract

In a central lemma we characterize 'generating functions' of certain functors on the category of algebraic non-commutative probability spaces. Special families of such generating functions correspond to 'unital, associative universal products' on this category, which again define a notion of non-commutative stochastic independence. Using the central lemma, we can prove the existence of cumulants and of 'cumulant Lie algebras' for all independences coming from a unital, associative universal product. These include the five independences (tensor, free, Boolean, monotone, anti-monotone) appearing in N. Murakis classification, c-free independence of M. Bożejko and R. Speicher, the indented product of T. Hasebe and the bi-free independence of D. Voiculescu. We show how the non-commutative independence can be reconstructed from its cumulants and cumulant Lie algebras.

1 Preliminaries

Vector spaces will be over the field of complex numbers. Algebras will be complex associative. An algebra is called unital if it has a unit element.

*The authors acknowledge support by DAAD and MAEDI/MENESR through the PROCOPE programme.

An algebra with an involution is called a $*$-algebra. A positive, normalized linear functional on a unital $*$-algebra is called a state. We say that a linear functional φ on a $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is *strongly positive* if the linear functional $\widetilde{\varphi}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a state where $\widetilde{\varphi}(\mathbf{1}) = 1$ and where $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathcal{A}$ denotes the unitalization of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vector space. The tensor algebra over $\mathcal{V}$ is the vector space

$$\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}^{\otimes n}$$

where $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes n}$ denotes the n-fold tensor product of the vector space $\mathcal{V}$ with itself. The multiplication of $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is given by linear extension of

$$\begin{aligned}(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_n)(w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_m) \\ = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_n \otimes w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_m.\end{aligned}$$

The algebra $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is characterized by the following universal property. For every algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and linear mapping $R : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{A}$ there is a unique algebra homomorphism $\mathrm{T}(R) : \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $R = \mathrm{T}(R) \circ \iota$ where ι denotes the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{\otimes 1}$ into $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$. Similarly, the symmetric tensor algebra $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V})$

$$\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}^{\otimes_s n}$$

over $\mathcal{V}$ is defined where now we put $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes_s 0} = \mathbb{C}$ and where $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes_s n}$ denotes the n-fold symmetric tensor product of $\mathcal{V}$ with itself. The commutative unital algebra $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V})$ is characterized by its universal property, which says that for each *commutative unital* algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and each linear mapping $R : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{A}$ there is a unique unital algebra homomorphism $\mathrm{S}(R) : \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $R = \mathrm{S}(R) \circ \iota$. Notice that with our notation $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V})$ is unital whereas $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is not.

Let I be a non-empty (index) set. Denote by $\mathbb{A}(I)$ the set of all finite sequences $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\varepsilon_j \in I$ with $\varepsilon_j \neq \varepsilon_{j+1}$, $j \in [n-1]$. Write $|\varepsilon| = n$. We put

$$[m] := \{1, \ldots, m\}$$

and $\mathbb{A}_m := \mathbb{A}([m])$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

For vector spaces $\mathcal{V}_i$, $i \in I$, we call the vector space

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}_i := \bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}(I)} \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon, \ \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_n} \tag{1.1}$$

the *free product* of the vector spaces $\mathcal{V}_i$. For algebras $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in I$, the vector space $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathcal{A}_i$ is turned into an algebra, the *free product* of the algebras $\mathcal{A}_i$, if we define the multiplication by

$$(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n)(b_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes b_m)$$
$$= \begin{cases} a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n-1} \otimes (a_n b_1) \otimes b_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes b_m & \text{if } \varepsilon_n = \rho_1 \\ a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \otimes b_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes b_m & \text{if } \varepsilon_n \neq \rho_1 \end{cases}$$

for $\varepsilon, \rho \in \mathbb{A}(I)$, $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$, $\rho = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m)$, $a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, $b_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes b_m \in \mathcal{A}_\rho$. For an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we put

$$\mathcal{A}^{\sqcup I} := \bigsqcup_{i\in I} \mathcal{A}_i,\ \mathcal{A}_i \text{ a copy of } \mathcal{A}. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an algebra. We say that the $(\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{(m)})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is *free* in $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{(m)}$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ and if the algebra homorphism from $\mathcal{A}^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathcal{A}^{(m)}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ which is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \ni a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n \mapsto a_1 \ldots a_n \in \mathcal{A}$$

is injective. If this mapping is a bijection, we say that $(\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{(m)})$ *freely generates* $\mathcal{A}$. In this case, we also write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathcal{A}^{(m)}$.

For a non-empty set X we denote by $\langle X \rangle$ the *semi-group* freely generated by X and by $[X]$ the *commutative monoid* freely generated by X. Notice that, with our notation, $\langle X \rangle$ does not include the empty word whereas $[X]$ does. The elements of $\langle X \rangle$ are formal words $x_1 \ldots x_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_i \in X$, which are called monomials. The monomials of $[X]$ will sometimes be written $x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We will deal with monomials of $[\langle X \rangle]$, which are of the form $M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $M_i = x_{i,1} \ldots x_{i,k_i}$, $i \in [l]$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. We put

$$\text{set}\,(x_1 \ldots x_k) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$$

and

$$|x_1 \ldots x_k| = k.$$

For a set X denote by $\mathbb{C}X$ the vector space with basis X and by $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ the 'semi-group algebra' of $\langle X \rangle$, i.e. the vector space with basis $\langle X \rangle$ and algebra multiplication given by the bilinear extension of the multiplication of $\langle X \rangle$. Then $\mathrm{T}(\mathbb{C}X) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ in a natural way. Similarly, the (commutative, unital) polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[X]$ will be considered. We have $\mathrm{S}(\mathbb{C}X) \cong \mathbb{C}[X]$. We will also use the natural identifications

$$\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2) = \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V}_2) \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2) = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}_1) \sqcup \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}_2). \tag{1.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}^*$ denote the algebraic dual space of a vector space $\mathcal{V}$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}^*$ we have the scalar-valued algebra homomorphism $\mathrm{S}(\varphi) : \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathbb{C}$, and we say that a mapping $F : \mathcal{V}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is a *polynomial function* over $\mathcal{V}$ if there is an element $P \in \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V})$ such that $F(\varphi) = \mathrm{S}(\varphi)(P)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}^*$. In fact, the polynomial $P = P_F$ is uniquely determined by its polynomial function F. If $\{v_i \,|\, i \in I\}$ is a vector space basis of $\mathcal{V}$, then we can identify $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\mathbb{C}[\{x_i \,|\, i \in I\}]$ and we have $\mathrm{S}(\varphi)(P) = P((\varphi(x_i)_{i\in I})$, that is $\mathrm{S}(\varphi)(P)$ is the value of the polynomial function given by P at the 'point' $(\varphi(x_i))_{i\in I}$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ be vector spaces and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Frequently, we use the identifications

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{V}^d, \mathcal{W}) = \big(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\big)^d = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}^d).$$

For example, $Tv = (T^{(1)}v, \ldots, T^{(d)}v) \in \mathcal{W}^d$ or $T(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(d)}) = T^{1)}v^{(1)} + \ldots + T^{(d)}v^{(d)} \in \mathcal{W}$ for

$$(T^{(1)}, \ldots, T^{(d)}) \in \big(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})\big)^d, v, v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(d)} \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Put $X_n := \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, the set formed by $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 'indeterminates' $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we let

$$j(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle \to \mathcal{A}$$

be the algebra homomorphism defined by putting

$$j(a_i) = x_i,\ i \in [n].$$

Considering $\mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle = \mathrm{T}(\mathbb{C}X)$ we have that

$$j(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \mathrm{T}(R)$$

with $R : \mathbb{C}X \to \mathcal{A}$ linear, $R(x_i) = a_i$. Put $(d \in \mathbb{N})$

$$\mathcal{V}^{*d} := (\mathcal{V}^*)^d = \mathcal{V}^* \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{V}^* = (\mathcal{V} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{V})^* = (\mathcal{V}^d)^*$$

and write $\varphi \circ j$ for $(\varphi^{(1)} \circ j, \ldots, \varphi^{(d)} \circ j) \in \mathcal{W}^{*d}$ if

$$\varphi = (\varphi^{(1)}, \ldots, \varphi^{(d)}) \in \mathcal{V}^{*d}$$

where $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{W}$ are vector spaces and $j : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{V}$ is linear.

The polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[\langle X\rangle]$ in the indeterminates M, $M \in \langle X\rangle$, is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra if we put the degree $|M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_l|$ of a monomial $M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_l$ in $[\langle X\rangle]$ equal to $|M_1| + \ldots + |M_l|$. For a finite set X, $\#X = n$, denote by $\mathcal{M}(X)$ the set of all monomials in $\mathbb{C}[\langle X\rangle]$ of the form $M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_l$ with

$$|M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_l| = n$$
$$(\operatorname{set} M_r) \cap (\operatorname{set} M_s) = \emptyset \text{ for } r \neq s$$
$$\#\operatorname{set} M_r = |M_r|, r \in [l].$$

The set $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is formed by all elements of $[\langle X\rangle]$ with the property that each indeterminate of X appears exactly once. For example, for $X = X_4$, we have that

$$(x_3x_1x_4) \cdot x_2, x_4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_3 \cdot x_2,\ x_2x_1x_4x_3 \text{ and } x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot (x_4x_3)$$

are in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ whereas

$$(x_1x_2) \cdot x_4 \text{ or } (x_1x_2x_4) \cdot (x_1x_3)$$

are not. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_d(X)$ the set

$$\{(M_1, k_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (M_l, k_l) \,|\, M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_l \in \mathcal{M}(X), \quad k_1, \ldots, k_l \in [d]\} \tag{1.5}$$

of 'labelled elements' of $\mathcal{M}(X)$, which is a subset of $[\langle X\rangle \times [d]]$, and put

$$\mathcal{M}(n) = \mathcal{M}(X_n),\ \mathcal{M}_d(n) = \mathcal{M}_d(X_n).$$

2 Introduction

Let $(\mathfrak{C}, \odot, \mathrm{E})$ be a tensor category; see, for example, [ML98] or [AM10]. We will always assume that the unit object E is an initial object of the category $\mathfrak{C}$. We write $\mathbf{1}_A : \mathrm{E} \to A$ for the unique morphism from E to an object A of $\mathfrak{C}$. Denote by

$$\alpha_{A,B,C} : A \odot (B \odot C) \to (A \odot B) \odot C$$
$$l_A : \mathrm{E} \odot A \to A,\ r_A : A \odot \mathrm{E} \to A$$

the associativity and unit constraints of the given tensor category, which satisfy the pentagon and triangle diagrams. In this situation the morphisms $\iota_1 : A_1 \to A_1 \odot A_2$ and $\iota_2 : A_2 \to A_1 \odot A_2$ with

$$\iota_1 = (\mathrm{id}_{A_1} \odot \mathbf{1}_{A_2}) \circ r_{A_1}^{-1}$$
$$\iota_2 = (\mathbf{1}_{A_1} \odot \mathrm{id}_{A_2}) \circ l_{A_2}^{-1}$$

are called the inclusion maps. Following U. Franz [Fra06], M. Gerhold [Ger14] and S. Lachs [Lac15], two morphisms $j_1 : A_1 \to A$, $j_2 : A_2 \to A$ with the same target are called *independent* if there is a unique morphism $h : A_1 \odot A_2 \to A$ such that $j_1 = h \circ \iota_1$ and $j_2 = h \circ \iota_2$. For example, the tensor product $\odot$ is a coproduct for the category $\mathfrak{C}$ if and only if *all* pairs of morphisms with the same target are independent. As another elementary example consider the category of vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{K}$ with injective linear mappings as morphisms and the tensor product given by the direct sum. Then two morphisms with the same target are independent if and only if there images are linearly independent; see [Ger14].

Now consider the category of duals of probability spaces. The objects of this category are pairs $(\mathcal{N}, \varphi)$ with $\mathcal{N}$ a commutative von Neumann algebra and φ a normal state on $\mathcal{N}$. The morphisms are von Neumann algebra homomorphisms which respect the states. A random variable $X : \Omega \to E$ over a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with values in a measurable space $(E, \mathcal{E})$ gives rise to the morphism

$$j_X : \mathrm{L}^\infty(E, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{P}_X) \to \mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P});\ j_X(f) = f \circ X$$

where $\mathbb{P}_X$ denotes the law of X. The von Neumann algebra tensor product and the tensor product of states turn this category into a tensor category with unit object $\mathbb{C}$, which is an initial object. Two random variables $X_1 : \Omega \to E_1$, $X_2 : \Omega \to E_2$ are stochastically independent in the usual sense of classical probability theory if and only if the morphisms j_{X_1}, j_{X_2} are independent in the above sense.

In this paper we will study non-commutative notions of independence, and, in particular, the construction of cumulants associated with such an independence. A natural approach to non-commutativity would be to consider the category of von Neumann algebras and states as above without the assumption of commutativity. Then one could ask for possible tensor products on this category. The classification of tensor products in this analytic setting seems to be an open problem. There are classification results for a more algebraic approach. Consider the category AlgP with pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, $\mathcal{A}$ an algebra, φ a linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$, as objects, and morphisms given by algebra homomorphisms which respect the linear functionals. The initial object is given by the algebra $\{0\}$ and the only linear functional $0 : \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$ on $\{0\}$. Let $(\mathrm{AlgP}, \odot', \{0\})$ be a tensor category and assume that

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1) \odot' (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2) = (\mathcal{A}_1 \odot' \mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_1 \odot' \varphi_2).$$

We form a new tensor category $(\mathrm{AlgP}, \odot, \{0\})$ by putting

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \odot \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2$$
$$\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2 = (\varphi_1 \odot' \varphi_2) \circ (\iota_1 \odot' \iota_2)$$

where here ι_1, ι_2 are the embeddings of the algebras $\mathcal{A}_1$, $\mathcal{A}_2$ into $\mathcal{A}_1 \odot' \mathcal{A}_2$ given by $\odot'$ as above. The free product is a coproduct in the category of algebras. The tensor product $\odot$ is a reduction of the tensor product $\odot'$ in the sense of [Fra06]. If two morphisms are independent with respect to $\odot'$, they are also independent with respect to $\odot$. This means that, for many questions in non-commutative probability, we may restrict ourselves to tensor products of the form $(\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2)$ where the product $\odot$ of linear functionals satisfies

$$(\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \circ \iota_1 = \varphi_1; \ (\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \circ \iota_2 = \varphi_2 \tag{2.1}$$
$$(\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \odot \varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \odot (\varphi_2 \odot \varphi_3) \tag{2.2}$$
$$(\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \circ (j_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup j_2) = (\varphi_1 \circ j_1) \odot (\varphi_2 \circ j_2) \tag{2.3}$$

for objects $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1)$, $(\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_3, \varphi_3)$ in AlgP, algebras $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$, and algebra homomorphisms $j_1 : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_1$, $j_2 : \mathcal{B}_2 \to \mathcal{A}_2$. Here we put

$$j_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup j_2 = (\iota_1 \circ j_1) \sqcup (\iota_2 \circ j_2).$$

Products in AlgP which satisfy (2.1)-(2.3) have been completely classified; see [Spe97, BGS02, Mur02, Mur03, GL15]. There are five families of such products, the tensor [HP84], free [Voi85, Spe90] , Boolean [vW73], monotone and anti-monotone [Mur97, Mur01, Lu97] families.

In this paper we will consider a more general situation. The category $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$, $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$, has as objects triplets $\big(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{(m)}), \varphi\big)$ where now $\mathcal{A}$ comes with an m-tuple $(\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{(m)})$ of subalgebras which freely generates $\mathcal{A}$, and φ is a d-tuple of linear functionals with each component a linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$. A morphism of $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ is given by an m-tuple of algebra homomorphisms adapted to the free decomposition of the algebras into subalgebras and which respect the components of φ.

We consider tensor products on $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ given by products $\odot$ with (2.1)-(2.3) which now have to be interpreted component-wise. We call such a unital, associative universal product a *u.a.u.-product*. Now further examples of independences, like bi-freeness [Voi14] or c-freeness [BS91, Ans11] and the examples of [Has11], are included. The classification of u.a.u.-products in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ is an open problem.

We are interested in convolution products, moments and cumulants in the non-commutative algebraic setting. To define these notions some additional

structure is needed. Classically, convolution of measures on (topological) groups can be defined by a combination of the tensor product of two measures and the group multiplication. Similarly, the convolution of linear functionals on a Hopf algebra or bialgebra is defined. Since we wish to study different notions of tensor products in a non-commutative setting, we need *dual groups* or semi-groups *in the sense of D. Voiculescu* [Voi87], which better suit non-commutativity than Hopf algebras or bialgebras. A dual semi-group in this paper means a pair $(\mathcal{D}, \Delta)$ with $\mathcal{D}$ an algebra and $\Delta : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \sqcup \mathcal{D}$ an algebra homomorphism such that

$$(\Delta \sqcup \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id} \sqcup \Delta) \circ \Delta \tag{2.4}$$

$$(0 \sqcup \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \iota_1;\ (\mathrm{id} \sqcup 0) \circ \Delta = \iota_2. \tag{2.5}$$

For the classification of independences a lemma from [BGS05] is crucial. A generalized version of this lemma and a more detailed proof can be found in Section 4 of this paper.

We explain the statement of our central lemma. The universal property of the polynomial algebra $\mathrm{T}(\mathbb{C}X_n) = \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ can be formulated as follows. Given an arbitrary algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and n elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ in $\mathcal{A}$ there is a unique algebra homomorphism $j(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle \to \mathcal{A}$ which maps x_i to a_i, $i \in [n]$. A mapping $F : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^{*d} \to \mathbb{C}$ from the space $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^{*d}$ of d-tuples of linear functionals on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ to the complex numbers is called *generating* if the maps

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \to F(\varphi \circ j(a_1, \ldots, a_n))$$

are n-linear for all algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and all d-tuples φ of linear functionals on $\mathcal{A}$. In the special case $d = 1$ the central lemma says that generating maps are always polynomial functions given by a polynomial P_F of degree n in the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[\langle X_n\rangle]$ in *commuting* indeterminates M where M runs through all monomials of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$. Moreover P_F is of very special type. It lies in the linear span of elements of the form $M_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot M_l$ with monomials M_k in $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ such that each indeterminant $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ appears exactly once in $M_1, \ldots, M_l$, i.e. P is in the linear span of $\mathcal{M}(X_n)$ of Section 1. F is obtained from P_F by the equation $F(\varphi) = \mathrm{P}_F\big((\varphi(M))_M\big)$ that is by the value of the *polynomial function* P_F at the points $\varphi(M)$. For general $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the monomials M get a label $j \in [d]$ and the d-tuple φ puts the value $\varphi^{(j)}(M)$ to the labelled monomial (M, j).

The same lemma is used to define the 'Lachs functor' (which is an example of a colax monoidal functor; see e.g. [AM10]), the case $d = m = 1$ was treated by S. Lachs in [Lac15]. Fix a u.a.u.-product $\odot$ on $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$. The convolution product of two linear functionals φ_1, φ_2 on a dual semi-group $\mathcal{D}$ is defined

by

$$\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2 = (\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \circ \Delta. \tag{2.6}$$

The product $\odot$ gives rise to a cotensor (or colax monoidal) functor $\mathcal{L}_\odot$ from the tensor category $(\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}, \odot, \{0\})$ to the tensor category of commutative algebraic probability spaces with the usual tensor product of algebras and of normalized linear functionals. It associates with each dual semi-group $\mathcal{D}$ a bialgebra structure on the symmetric tensor algebra $\mathcal{L}_\odot(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{S}((\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^d)$ over the vector space $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^d$ such that

$$\mathrm{S}(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) = \mathrm{S}(\varphi_1) \star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_2) \tag{2.7}$$

where the second convolution is given by the coproduct $\mathcal{L}_\odot(\Delta)$ of the bialgebra $(\mathcal{L}_\odot(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{L}_\odot(\Delta), \mathrm{S}(0))$ and $\mathrm{S}(\varphi)$ denotes the algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{L}_\odot(\mathcal{D})$ to the complex numbers given by $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a dual group, the vector space $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$ is a group with respect to convolution; cf. [FM15] for the case $d = m = 1$.

Now consider the following example of a dual group which is important for the treatment of cumulants associated with a given product $\odot$ on $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$. The algebra structure of this dual group is just that of a tensor algebra $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ over a given vector space $\mathcal{V}$. As before it is sometimes convenient to look at $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ as the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle x_i; i \in I\rangle$ in indeterminates x_i with I a set of the cardinality of a basis of $\mathcal{V}$. The dual space of $\mathbb{C}\langle x_i; i \in I\rangle$ can be identified with the algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle x_i; i \in I\rangle\rangle$ of formal power series in the x_i. The comultiplication of $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is given by $\Delta x_i = \iota_1 x_i + \iota_2 x_i$ where ι_1, ι_2 denote the natural embeddings of $\mathcal{V}$ into $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) \sqcup \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V})$; see 1.4.

The fact that the polynomial in the central lemma is of the special type described above means that the bialgebra $\mathcal{L}_\odot(\mathcal{D})$ in the case $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded with a 1-dimensional 0-component. For such $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded bialgebras (which are automatically Hopf algebras; see e.g. [Man08]) linear functionals which vanish at $\mathbf{1}$ are nilpotent with respect to convolution if restricted to the sub-coalgebra spanned by homogeneous elements of a degree which is bounded by a fixed natural number. Thus we may define, pointwise, the linear functional $\ln_\star \Phi$ as a logarithm series with respect to convolution for all linear functionals Φ on $\mathcal{L}_\odot\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)$ with $\Phi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$. It can be shown that, for $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$, the convolution logarithm $\ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi)$ is an $\mathrm{S}(0)$-derivation. As such it is determined by its restriction $\ln_\odot(\varphi)$ to $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^d$. We call $\ln_\odot(\varphi) \in (\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$ the *cumulant functional* of φ. In fact, $d = m = 1$ gives the known cumulants (see e.g. [AHLV15]) if we choose for $\odot$ one of the Muraki five [Mur02, Mur02, Lac15], and the cumulants in the bi-free case of Voiculescu [Voi14] for $d = 1$, $m = 2$. Morever, $m = 1$, $d \geq 2$ covers c-freeness of Bożejko and Speicher [BS91, BLS96] and the examples of Hasebe

[Has11]. In the symmetric case, e.g. for tensor, free, Boolean and bi-free independence, we have

$$\ln_\odot(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) = \ln_\odot(\varphi_1) + \ln_\odot(\varphi_2) \tag{2.8}$$

whereas, for example, in the monotonic or anti-monotonic case this formula has to be replaced by a Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff expansion; cf. [FM15] and Theorem 8.1 of this paper. The uniqueness of cumulants which satisfy natural conditions is shown in [HS11] in the monotonic case.

The Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff expansion follows easily from the calculus of formal power series. The linear functional $\exp_\star \Psi$ can be defined as an exponential convolution series for all linear functionals Ψ on $\mathcal{L}_\odot\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)$ and, if Ψ vanishes at $\mathbf{1}$, we have that this series is a finite sum at each point. Moreover, it follows that $\ln_\star$ and $\exp_\star$ are inverses of each other. For an $\mathrm{S}(0)$-derivation $D = D(\psi)$, ψ the restriction of D to $\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})^{\sqcup m}\big)^d = \big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}^m)\big)^d$, we have that $\exp_\star D$ is a unital algebra homomorphism and as such of the form $\mathrm{S}(\varphi)$ with $\varphi \in \big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}^m)\big)^{*d}$. Put $\exp_\odot(\psi) = \varphi$. Then $\ln_\odot$ and $\exp_\odot$ are inverses of each other. Moreover, $\ln_\odot(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2)$ is the restriction to $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^d$ of the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_1) + \ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_2) + \frac{1}{2}[\ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_1), \ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_2)]_\star \\ + \frac{1}{12}[\ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_1), [\ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_1), \ln_\star \mathrm{S}(\varphi_2)]_\star]_\star - ...\end{aligned}$$

which again is a finite sum at each point. The convolution commutator of two $\mathrm{S}(0)$-derivations is again an $\mathrm{S}(0)$-derivation. Therefore, the vector space $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$, becomes a Lie algebra with the Lie bracket defined by

$$[\psi_1, \psi_2]_\odot := [D(\psi_1), D(\psi_2)]_\star \restriction (\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^d \tag{2.9}$$

which we call the *cumulant Lie algebra* for $\mathcal{V}$. Call the cumulants for $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. in the case $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle$, the *$n$-th order cumulants* of $\odot$ and the associated Lie algebra on $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d} = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{mn}\rangle\rangle^d$ the *n-th order cumulant Lie algebra* of $\odot$. We show that a u.a.u.-product is determined by the following data

- all n-th order cumulants, $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- all n-th order cumulant Lie algebras, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The system of cumulants and cumulant Lie algebras is the infinitesimal form of the underlying u.a.u.-product. It will be a subject of further research to

find general conditions for an 'infinitesimal system' to lead to a u.a.u.-product by 'exponentiating'.

We give a brief description of the content of the paper. Section 3 contains a generalization of Lemma 1 of [BGS02] to the general d, m-case. In Sections 4 and 5 we apply this central lemma to u.a.u.-products to find a coefficient formula which could be a first step to the classification of u.a.u.-products. Moreover, we prove a generalization of a result of N. Muraki [Mur13] which says that for *positive* products all 'wrong ordered' coefficients vanish. Section 6 is about the Lachs functor. Section 7 presents some results on $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebras which are used to define cumulants in the general d, m-case in Section 8.

3 Universal products

Consider the category of algebraic probability spaces AlgP with pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ as objects and with morphisms $j : (\mathcal{B}, \psi) \to (\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$, $j : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ an algebra homomorphism, $\varphi \circ j = \psi$. More generally, denote by AlgP_d the category formed by pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$ and morphisms given by algebra homomorphisms which respect each of the components of φ and ψ, i.e. $\varphi^{(l)} = \psi^{(l)} \circ j$ for $l \in [d]$. We will write $\varphi \circ j$ for $(\varphi^{(1)} \circ j, \ldots, \varphi^{(d)} \circ j)$ so that the morphism condition reads again $\varphi \circ j = \psi$. Similarly, we consider the category UAlgP_d of pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with $\mathcal{A}$ a *unital* algebra and $\varphi = (\varphi^{(1)}, \ldots, \varphi^{(d)})$ a d-tuple of *normalized* linear functionals on $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. $\varphi^{(l)}(\mathbf{1}) = 1$. Of course, the morphisms are now the *unital* algebra homomorphisms respecting the $\varphi^{(l)}$.

A universal product in AlgP_d is a bifunctor of the special form

$$\begin{aligned} &\big((\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)\big) \\ &\qquad \mapsto (\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1) \odot (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2) = (\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \\ &(j_1, j_2) \mapsto j_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup j_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The bifunctor property implies that (2.3) holds. A universal product is called degenerate if

$$(\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2)(a_1 \ldots a_m) = 0$$

for all objects $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)$ in AlgP_d, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_2$, $m = |\varepsilon| > 1$, $a_1 \ldots a_m \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. We always assume that our universal products are non-degenerate. $\odot$ is a u.a.u.-product if it satisfies (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). We say that $\odot$ is *symmetric* if

$$\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \odot \varphi_1. \tag{3.2}$$

Universal products in the category UAlgP_d are defined in an analogous way. In this case the role of the free product $\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2$ of two algebras is played by

the coproduct in the category of unital algebras, which is given by $\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{A}_2 := (\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2)/\mathcal{I}$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal generated by $\mathbf{1}_1 - \mathbf{1}_2$ with $\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{1}_2$ the unit elements of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$. We mention that for the unitalization $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathcal{A}$ of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we have in a natural way

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}_1} \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_2} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2}.$$

We will also consider universal products $\odot_{i\in I}\, \varphi_i$ of a *family*

$$(\mathcal{A}_i, \varphi_i)_{i\in I}$$

of objects in AlgP_d or in UAlgP_d. Condition (2.3) is replaced by

$$\big(\odot_{i\in I}\, \varphi_i\big) \circ \big(\sqcup_{i\in I}\, j_i\big) = \odot_{i\in I}(\varphi_i \circ j_i).$$

Now we generalize from one to $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *algebras* by considering the category $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ with objects $\big(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{(m)}), \varphi\big)$ where

$$(\mathcal{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{(m)}) \text{ freely generates } \mathcal{A},$$
$$\varphi = (\varphi^{(1)}, \ldots, \varphi^{(d)}),\ \varphi^{(1)}, \ldots, \varphi^{(d)} \in \mathcal{A}^*,$$

and morphisms given by algebra homomorphisms $j : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $j(\mathcal{B}^{(k)}) \subset \mathcal{A}^{(k)}$, $k \in [m]$, and $\psi = \varphi \circ j$. Define the category $\mathrm{UAlgP}_{d,m}$ in an analogous way.

A universal product in the category $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ is a bifunctor of the form (3.1) where now $\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2$ stands for

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2, \big((\mathcal{A}_1^{(1)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}), \ldots, (\mathcal{A}_1^{(m)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(m)})\big),$$

which means again (2.3). As before, we say that $\odot$ is unital and associative if (2.1) and (2.2) hold.

Example 1. In the case $d = m = 1$, by a result of S. Lachs [Lac15], there are exactly five families $(\otimes_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$, $(\diamondsuit_{r,s})_{(r,s)\in\mathbb{C}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}}$, $(\circledast_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$, $(\lhd_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$, $(\rhd_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$ of u.a.u.-products. The tensor family $(\otimes_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$ can be obtained from the parameter $q = 1$ case by the normalization equation

$$\varphi_1 \otimes_q \varphi_2 = q^{-1}\big((q\,\varphi_1) \otimes_1 (q\,\varphi_2)\big). \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi_1 \otimes_1 \varphi_2 = (\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) \circ \sigma$$

with

$$\sigma : \mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2 \to \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus (\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2)$$

the canonical algebra homomorphism from the free product to the tensor product of the algebras $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$. Similarly, the free family $(\circledast_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$ and the monotonic $(\triangleleft_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$ and anti-monotonic family $(\triangleright_q)_{q\in\dot{\mathbb{C}}}$ can be obtained from the $q=1$ case by a normalization equation like in (3.3). For $a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_n\in\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_n)\in\mathbb{A}_2$, the free $q=1$ case is given by the recursion formula

$$\begin{aligned}&(\varphi_1\circledast\varphi_2)(a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_n)\\&=\sum_{I\subsetneq[n]}(-1)^{\#I+1}(\varphi_1\circledast\varphi_2)(\prod_{i\in I}a_i)\,\big(\prod_{i\in I^c}\varphi_{\varepsilon_i}(a_i)\big)\end{aligned}$$

with the convention $(\varphi_1\circledast\varphi_2)(\prod_{i\in\emptyset}a_i)=1$, the monotonic $q=1$ case by

$$(\varphi_1\triangleleft\varphi_2)(a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_n)=\varphi_1\big(\prod_{i,\varepsilon_i=1}a_i\big)\,\big(\prod_{i,\varepsilon_i=2}\varphi_2(a_i)\big)$$

and the anti-monotonic $q=1$ case by

$$(\varphi_1\triangleright\varphi_2)(a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_n)=\big(\prod_{i,\varepsilon_i=1}\varphi_1(a_i)\big)\,\varphi_2\big(\prod_{i,\varepsilon_i=2}a_i\big).$$

Notice that the monotonic and the anti-monotonic case are not symmetric.

The two parameter Boolean family is given by

$$(\varphi_1\diamondsuit_{r,s}\varphi_2)(a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_n)=c(r,s,\varepsilon)\,\varphi_{\varepsilon_1}(a_1)\ldots\varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(a_n)$$

with

$$c(r,s,\varepsilon)=r^{\#\{i\in[n]\,|\,i>1,\varepsilon_i=1\}}\,s^{\#\{i\in[n]\,|\,i>1,\varepsilon_i=2\}}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi_1\diamondsuit_{r,s}\varphi_2)(a_1a_2)&=s\varphi_1(a_1)\varphi_2(a_2)\\(\varphi_1\diamondsuit_{r,s}\varphi_2)(a_2a_1)&=r\varphi_1(a_1)\varphi_2(a_2)\end{aligned}$$

for $a_1\in\mathcal{A}_1$, $a_2\in\mathcal{A}_2$, so that $\diamondsuit_{r,s}$ is not symmetric for $r\neq s$.

If the universal product satisfies

$$(\varphi_1\odot\varphi_2)(a_1a_2)=(\varphi_1\odot\varphi_2)(a_2a_1)=\varphi_1(a_1)\,\varphi_2(a_2)$$

for all $a_1\in\mathcal{A}_1$, $a_2\in\mathcal{A}_2$, we say that it is normal. It has been shown by N. Muraki [Mur01, Mur02] that $\otimes_1$, $\circledast_1$, $\diamondsuit_{1,1}$, $\triangleleft_1$ and $\triangleright_1$ are the only normal u.a.u.-products in the category $\mathrm{AlgP}_{1,1}$. The Muraki five are also the only positive (see Section 5) u.a.u.-products [Mur13] in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{1,1}$. Moreover, $\otimes_1$, $\diamondsuit_1$ and $\circledast_1$ are the only normal, symmetric u.a.u.-products and the only positive, symmetric u.a.u.-products in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{1,1}$.

Remark 3.1. If we have a universal product in the category $\mathrm{UAlgP}_{d,m}$, the following procedure associates with it a universal product in the category $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$. Let

$$\big(\mathcal{A}_1, (\mathcal{A}_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_1^{(m)}), \varphi_1\big),\ \big(\mathcal{A}_2, (\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_2^{(m)}), \varphi_2\big)$$

be objects in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ and let $\odot_{\mathbf{1}}$ be a universal product in $\mathrm{UAlgP}_{d,m}$. We form the tensor product

$$\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2, (\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^{(1)} \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^{(1)}, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^{(m)} \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^{(m)}), \widetilde{\varphi}_1 \odot_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\varphi}_2\big)$$

and put

$$(\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2)^{(l)} := (\widetilde{\varphi}_1 \odot_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\varphi}_2)^{(l)} \restriction \mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2$$

which makes sense since

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2 &= (\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^{(1)} \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^{(1)}) \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \ldots \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} (\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^{(m)} \sqcup_{\mathbf{1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^{(m)}) \\ &\supset (\mathcal{A}_1^{(1)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup (\mathcal{A}_1^{(m)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(m)}) = \mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2\end{aligned}$$

in the canonical way. One checks that $\odot$ is a u.a.u.-product if this is true for $\odot_{\mathbf{1}}$. A universal product in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ not always can be reduced to a universal product in $\mathrm{UAlgP}_{d,m}$. However, $\odot$ defines $\odot_{\mathbf{1}}$ if it respects the units of the algebras. This is, for example, the case for the tensor and the free product, but not for the Boolean, monotone or anti-monotone product in Example 1.

Example 2. M. Bozejko and R. Speicher [BS91] showed, see also [BLS96], that there is a unique u.a.u.-product $\odot_{\mathbf{1}}$ in $\mathrm{UAlgP}_{2,1}$ such that

$$(\varphi_1 \odot_{\mathbf{1}} \varphi_2)^{(2)} = \varphi_1^{(2)} \circledast_{\mathbf{1}} \varphi_2^{(2)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_2, a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_1}, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_n}, \varphi_{\varepsilon_i}^{(2)}(a_i) = 0, i \in [n] \\ &\quad \Longrightarrow \ \big((\varphi_1 \odot_{\mathbf{1}} \varphi_2)^{(1)}\big)(a_1 \ldots a_n) = \varphi_{\varepsilon_1}^{(1)}(a_1) \ldots \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}^{(1)}(a_n).\end{aligned}$$

The independence coming from the u.a.u.-product $\odot$ given by the above product on $\mathrm{UAlgP}_{2,1}$ as in Remark 2, which is also called *c-freeness*, gives rise to a generalized, non-commutative Brownian motion; see [BS91, Ans11]. The concept for the c-free product can be generalized to a wider class of u.a.u.-products in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{2,1}$; see [Has11] and Remark 3.2.

Example 3. (see [Voi14]) Let $\mathcal{V}_1$, $\mathcal{V}_2$ be vector spaces. For $\mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_2 = \bigoplus_{\varepsilon\in\mathbb{A}_2} \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon$, cf. (1.1), consider the four natural vector space isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}\oplus(\mathcal{V}_1\sqcup\mathcal{V}_2) &\cong (\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_1)\otimes(\mathbb{C}\oplus\bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon\in\mathbb{A}_2\\ \varepsilon_1=2}}\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon) \\ &\cong (\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_2)\otimes(\mathbb{C}\oplus\bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon\in\mathbb{A}_2\\ \varepsilon_1=1}}\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon)\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{C}\oplus(\mathcal{V}_1\sqcup\mathcal{V}_2) &\cong (\mathbb{C}\oplus\bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon\in\mathbb{A}_2\\ \varepsilon_n=2}}\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon)\otimes(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_1) \\ &\cong (\mathbb{C}\oplus\bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon\in\mathbb{A}_2\\ \varepsilon_n=1}}\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon)\otimes(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_2).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $l(T)$, $T\in\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_1)$, be the element in $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}\oplus(\mathcal{V}_1\sqcup\mathcal{V}_2))$ which equals $T\otimes\mathrm{id}$ in the first identification of (3.4) and let $r(T)$ be the element which equals $\mathrm{id}\otimes T$ in the first identification of (3.5). Similarly, for $T\in\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_2)$ define $l(T)$ and $r(T)$ to be the elements of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}\oplus(\mathcal{V}_1\sqcup\mathcal{V}_2))$ using the second identifications of (3.4) and (3.5).

An algebraic probability space $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$, i.e. an element of AlgP, gives rise to a Gelfand-Naimark-Segal representation $\pi_\varphi : \mathcal{A}\to\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_\varphi)$ which, up to isomorphisms, is uniquely determined by the properties ($\Omega=(1,0)$)

$$\begin{gathered}\pi(\mathcal{A})\Omega+\mathbb{C}\Omega=\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathcal{V}_\varphi \\ K\subset\mathcal{V}_\varphi,\pi(\mathcal{A})K\subset K \implies K=\{0\} \\ \mathbb{P}_\Omega\pi(a)=\varphi(a)\Omega,\ \text{for all } a\in\mathcal{A};\end{gathered}$$

cf. [Ger14, Lac15]. The triplet $(\pi,\mathcal{V},\Omega)$ is called the *GNS-triplet* of $(\mathcal{A},\varphi)$. Let $(\mathcal{A}_1,\varphi_1)$, $(\mathcal{A}_2,\varphi_2)$ be two algebraic probability spaces with GNS-triplets

$$(\pi_1,\mathcal{V}_1,\Omega_1),\ (\pi_2,\mathcal{V}_2,\Omega_2).$$

We define a representation π of $\mathcal{A}_1\sqcup\mathcal{A}_2$ on $\mathbb{C}\oplus(\mathcal{V}_1\sqcup\mathcal{V}_2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(a_1)&=l(\pi_1(a_1))\\ \pi(a_2)&=l(\pi_2(a_2)).\end{aligned}$$

Then $(\pi,\mathcal{V}_1\sqcup\mathcal{V}_2,\Omega)$, $\Omega=(1,0)$, is the GNS-triplet of $\varphi_1\circledast\varphi_2$; see [Voi85]. This GNS-triplet can equally be realized by putting

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(a_1)&=r(\pi_1(a_1)),\\ \pi(a_2)&=r(\pi_2(a_2)).\end{aligned}$$

It was the idea of D. Voiculescu [Voi14] to involve both 'faces', i.e. the left and the right operators on $\mathbb{C} \oplus (\mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_2)$, by considering objects

$$\big(\mathcal{A}_1, (\mathcal{A}_1^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_1^{(2)}), \varphi_1\big),\ \big(\mathcal{A}_2, (\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}), \varphi_2\big)$$

in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{1,2}$ and by putting for the GNS-triplets $(\pi_1, \mathcal{V}_1, \Omega_1)$, $(\pi_2, \mathcal{V}_2, \Omega_2)$ of $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1)$, $(\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(a_1) &= l(\pi_1(a_1)) \text{ for } a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1^{(1)} \\
\pi(a_1) &= r(\pi_1(a_1)) \text{ for } a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1^{(2)} \\
\pi(a_2) &= l(\pi_2(a_2)) \text{ for } a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)} \\
\pi(a_2) &= r(\pi_2(a_2)) \text{ for } a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

to define a representation of $\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2$ on $\mathbb{C} \oplus (\mathcal{V}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{V}_2)$. Now put

$$\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2(A) := \mathbb{P}_\Omega\big(\pi(A)\Omega\big)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2$ to obtain a u.a.u.-product $\odot$ in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{1,2}$, the *bi-free product* of Voiculescu. Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(1)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)} &= (\varphi_1 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(1)}) \circledast (\varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}) \\
\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(2)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(2)} &= (\varphi_1 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(2)}) \circledast (\varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(1)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(2)} &= (\varphi_1 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(1)}) \otimes (\varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}) \\
\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(2)} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)} &= (\varphi_1 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(2)}) \otimes (\varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)});
\end{aligned}$$

see [Voi14]. Since $\odot$ is symmetric, the cumulant Lie algebras are abelian and the cumulant functional $\ln_\odot(\varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) \sqcup \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)^* = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V})^*$ has the properties

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln_\odot(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) &= \ln_\odot(\varphi_1) + \ln_\odot(\varphi_2) \\
\ln_\odot(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) \restriction \iota_1\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big) &= \ln_\circledast(\varphi_1 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(1)}) + \ln_\circledast(\varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}) \\
\ln_\odot(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) \restriction \iota_2\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big) &= \ln_\circledast(\varphi_1 \restriction \mathcal{A}_1^{(2)}) + \ln_\circledast(\varphi_2 \restriction \mathcal{A}_2^{(2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. M. Gerhold and S. Lachs [Ger14, Lac15] introduced the notion of an 'abstract Lévy processes on a comonoidal system'. A special case is a quantum Lévy process on a given dual semi-group with respect to a fixed independence given by a u.a.u.-product in AlgP; see [Lac15]. More generally,

quantum Lévy processes - on a dual semi-group and with respect to a u.a.u.-product in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ - give rise to new examples of abstract Lévy processes on a comonoidal system in the sense of [Ger14, Lac15]. The c-free Brownian motion of [BS91, Ans11] is an example of such a Lévy processs on a dual group of type $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ with the underlying independence given by the c-free product (Example 2) in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{2,1}$. See also Remark 5.5.

4 The central lemma

The following functors from AlgP_d to AlgP play an important role in the classification of u.a.u.-products and for our definition of cumulants in Section 8. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{AlgP}_d \to \mathrm{AlgP}$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) = \big(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}, \mathcal{F}(\varphi)\big) \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(j) = j^{\otimes n}. \tag{4.2}$$

This means that

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi \circ j)(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi)\big(j(a_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes j(a_n)\big)$$

for all algebras $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$, algebra homomorphisms $j : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular, consider the algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle$ and put

$$F(\varphi) := \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n)$$

for $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle^{*d}$. Then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\varphi \circ j(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) &= \mathcal{F}(\varphi \circ j(a_1, \ldots, a_n))(x_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{F}$ is determined by $F : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle^{*d} \to \mathbb{C}$.

A map

$$F : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle^{*d} \to \mathbb{C}$$

is called *generating* (of degree n and index d) if the following holds. For each algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and each element $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \ldots, a_n) &\mapsto F\big(\varphi \circ j(a_1, \ldots, a_n)\big) \\ \mathcal{A}^n &\to \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

is n-linear. Complex constants are called generating of degree 0. It is immediate that F coming from a functor $\mathcal{F}$ as above is generating. Moreover, given a generating map F we put

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) := F\big(\varphi \circ j(a_1, \ldots, a_n)\big)$$

to define a functor $\mathcal{F}$ on AlgP_d, which satisfies (4.1) and (4.2). Indeed, the n-linearity makes it possible to extend $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ to a linear mapping on $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes n}$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\varphi\circ j)(a_1\otimes\ldots\otimes a_n) &= F\big(\varphi\circ j\circ j(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\big)\\ &= F\big(\varphi\circ j(j(a_1),\ldots,j(a_n)\big)\\ &= \mathcal{F}(\varphi)\big(j(a_1)\otimes\ldots\otimes j(a_n)\big).\end{aligned}$$

This means that we have a 1-1-correspondence between generating maps and functors $\mathcal{F}$ as above.

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\mapsto \varphi^{(k_1)}(a_{i(1,1)}\ldots a_{i(1,s_1)})\ldots\\ \ldots\varphi^{(k_l)}(a_{i(l,1)}\ldots a_{i(l,s_l)})\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

is n-linear in $a_1,\ldots,a_n\in\mathcal{A}$, for each choice of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, and for all fixed $\varphi\in\mathcal{A}^{*d}$ and $(M_1,k_1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(M_l,k_l)$ in the set $\mathcal{M}_d(n)$, $M_r=x_{i(r,1)}\ldots x_{i(r,s_r)}$; see (1.5) for the definition of $\mathcal{M}_d$. This holds because each a_i, $i\in[n]$, appears exactly once as an argument in the product of the right hand side of (4.3) and because the $\varphi^{(k)}$ are linear. Since $\varphi\circ j(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ is as an element of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^{*d}$, the image of $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ in (4.3) can be written

$$\mathrm{S}\big(\varphi\circ j(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\big)(\pi)$$

with

$$\mathrm{S}\big(\varphi\circ j(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\big)\in\mathrm{S}\big(\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^d\big)^*$$

and

$$\pi=(M_1,k_1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(M_l,k_l)\in\mathrm{S}\big(\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^d\big)=\mathbb{C}\big[\langle X_n\rangle\times[d]\big].$$

Let P be a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\big[\langle X_n\rangle\times[d]\big]$ which lies in the linear span of $\mathcal{M}_d(n)$, i.e.

$$P=\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{M}_d(n)}\alpha_\pi\,\pi$$

with complex constants α_π. Then the polynomial function

$$F(\varphi)=\mathrm{S}(\varphi)(P)=\sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{M}_d(n)}\alpha_\pi\,\mathrm{S}(\varphi)(\pi)\tag{4.4}$$

over $\mathbb{C}(\langle X_n\rangle\times[d])=\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^d$ given by P is generating of degree n and index d. Indeed, *all* generating maps arise this way.

Lemma 4.1. *A map F is generating of degree n and index d iff F is a polynomial function over $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^d$ given by a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\big[\langle X_n\rangle \times [d]\big]$ which lies in the linear span $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{M}_d(n)$ of $\mathcal{M}_d(n)$.*

A proof of the remaining implication will be given at the end of this section.

The right hand side of (4.4) only depends on the values of φ on monomials with $\#(\operatorname{set} M) = |M|$ that is on monomials in $\langle X_n\rangle$ 'with no repetition of an indeterminant'. A first step consists in proving

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let F be generating of degree n and index d. Moreover, let $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^{*d}$.*
Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(M) = \widetilde{\varphi}(M) \text{ for all } M \in \langle X_n\rangle \text{ with } \#(\operatorname{set} M) = |M| \\ &\implies F(\varphi) = F(\widetilde{\varphi}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: We only write down the case $d = 1$, the general case can be done in exactly the same manner but with more indices.

We double the number of indeterminates to obtain the algebra $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}\langle y_1, z_1, \ldots, y_n, z_n\rangle$. Denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the set of monomials

$$\xi_1 \ldots \xi_l,\ \xi_j \in \{y_{i_j}, z_{i_j}\},\ i_j \in [n],\ j \in [l]$$

in $\mathcal{A}_1$ with the following property. If i_j, $j \in [l]$, appeared before, we must have $\xi_j = z_{i_j}$, and if i_j did not appear before, we must have $\xi_j = y_{i_j}$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= y_{i_1} \\ \xi_2 &= \begin{cases} y_{i_2} & \text{if } i_2 \neq i_1 \\ z_{i_2} & \text{if } i_2 = i_1 \end{cases} \\ \xi_s &= \begin{cases} y_{i_s} & \text{if } i_s \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_{s-1}\} \\ z_{i_s} & \text{if } i_s \in \{i_1 \ldots, i_{s-1}\} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $s = 3, \ldots, l$. For example, $y_2y_1y_3z_2y_4z_2$ and $y_2y_1y_3z_2z_1z_2$ are in $\mathcal{N}$, but $y_2y_1y_3z_2y_1z_2 \notin \mathcal{N}$.

Let $\psi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^{*d}$. Define the linear functional ψ_1 on $\mathcal{A}_1$ by

$$\psi_1(\xi_1 \ldots \xi_l) = \begin{cases} \psi(x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_l}) & \text{if } \xi_1 \ldots \xi_l \in \mathcal{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \circ j(y_1 + z_1, \ldots, y_n + z_n) &= \varphi \\ \widetilde{\varphi}_1 \circ j(y_1 + z_1, \ldots, y_n + z_n) &= \widetilde{\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

For φ this follows from

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi_1 \circ j)(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l}) &= \varphi_1\big((y_{i_1} + z_{i_1}) \dots (y_{i_l} + z_{i_l})\big) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_l \\ \xi_r \in \{y_{i_r}, z_{i_r}\}, r \in [l]}} \varphi_1(\xi_1 \dots \xi_l)\end{aligned}$$

and the fact that exactly one of the monomials $\xi_1 \dots \xi_l$ appearing in the sum of the right hand side is in $\mathcal{N}$, and that for this monomial we have $\varphi_1(\xi_1 \dots \xi_l) = \varphi(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l})$ by the definition of φ_1. Similarly for $\widetilde{\varphi}$.

Using the n-linearity property of the generating map F twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned}F(\varphi) &= F\big(\varphi_1 \circ j(y_1 + z_1, \dots, y_n + z_n)\big) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_l \\ \xi_r \in \{y_{i_r}, z_{i_r}\}, r \in [l]}} F\big(\varphi_1 \circ j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l)\big) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_l \\ \xi_r \in \{y_{i_r}, z_{i_r}\}, r \in [l]}} F\big(\widetilde{\varphi}_1 \circ j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l)\big) \\ &= F(\widetilde{\varphi}).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that equality of the sums follows because for $M \in \langle X_n \rangle$

$$j(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)M \in \mathcal{N} \implies \#(\operatorname{set} M) = |M|$$

and φ and $\widetilde{\varphi}$ agree on monomials M with $\#(\operatorname{set} M) = |M|$ by assumption.$\square$

We put

$$\widehat{\langle X \rangle} = \{M \in \langle X \rangle \,|\, \#(\operatorname{set} M) = |M|\}$$

Moreover, for $x \in X$ we put

$$\langle X \rangle_x = \langle X \setminus \{x\} \rangle;\ \langle X \rangle^{(x)} = \{M \in \langle X \rangle \,|\, x \in \operatorname{set} M\}.$$

There is also $\widehat{\langle X \rangle}_x$ and $\widehat{\langle X \rangle}^{(x)}$ with the obvious meanings. We put $\widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}^{(k)} = \widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}^{(x_k)}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let F be a generating map of degree n and index d. Then there exist mappings*

$$\alpha_k^{(M,l)} : \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}_k^{*d} \to \mathbb{C},$$

$k \in [n]$, $M \in \widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}^{(k)}$, $l \in [d]$, such that

$$F(\varphi) = \sum_{(M,l) \in \widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}^{(k)} \times [d]} \alpha_k^{(M,l)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}_k^d)\, \varphi^{(l)}(M).$$

Proof: Again only for $d = 1$. We have

$$\mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle} = \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}_k \oplus \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^{(k)}$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^* = \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}_k^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^{(k)*}.$$

The linear functionals δ_M, $M \in \widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^{(k)}$, given by $\delta_M(\varphi) = \varphi(M)$, form a vector space basis of $\mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^{(k)*}$. The statement of the proposition means nothing else but the linearity of

$$F : \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^* = \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}_k^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^{(k)*} \to \mathbb{C}$$

in the second component. In order to prove this linearity let $\varphi, \widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^*$ be two linear functionals which agree on $\mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}_k$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, define $\theta \in \mathbb{C}\widehat{\langle X_n\rangle}^*$ by

$$\theta(M) = \begin{cases} \varphi(M) & \text{if } M \in \langle X_n\rangle_k \\ \varphi(M) + \lambda\widetilde{\varphi}(M) & \text{if } M \in \langle X_n\rangle^{(k)}. \end{cases}$$

Now consider two further indeterminates y_k and z_k to double the indeterminate x_k and put

$$(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n+1}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y_k, z_k, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_1 := \mathbb{C}\langle \xi_1, \ldots \xi_{n+1}\rangle.$$

Next, define $\widetilde{\theta} \in (\mathcal{A}_1)^*$ by ($\xi = \xi_{i_1} \ldots \xi_{i_l}$ a monomial in $\mathcal{A}_1$)

$$\widetilde{\theta}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k, k+1 \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\} \\ \varphi(x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_l}) & \text{if } k+1 \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\} \\ \widetilde{\varphi}(x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_l}) & \text{if } k \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\},\ k+1 \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\} \end{cases}$$

and consider the algebra homomorphism $j : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle \to \mathcal{A}_1$ which is given by

$$j(x_i) = \begin{cases} \xi_i & \text{if } i \neq k \\ x_k + \lambda z_k & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

We have $\widetilde{\theta} \circ j = \theta$. Indeed, if $M = x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_l}$ is in $\langle X_n\rangle$ with $k \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$, then

$$(\widetilde{\theta} \circ j)(M) = \varphi(M) = \widetilde{\varphi}(M) = \theta(M)$$

whereas in the other case $k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{\theta} \circ j)(M) &= \widetilde{\theta}(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}}(y_k + \lambda z_k)x_{i_{k+1}} \dots x_{i_l}) \\ &= \widetilde{\theta}(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}} y_k x_{i_{k+1}} \dots x_{i_l}) \\ &\qquad + \lambda\widetilde{\theta}(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}} z_k x_{i_{k+1}} \dots x_{i_l}) \\ &= \varphi(M) + \lambda\widetilde{\varphi}(M) \\ &= \theta(M).\end{aligned}$$

Using that F is generating, we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}F(\theta) &= F(\widetilde{\theta} \circ j) \\ &= F\big(\widetilde{\theta} \circ j(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_k + \lambda z_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)\big) \\ &= F\big(\widetilde{\theta} \circ j(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)\big) \\ &\qquad + \lambda F\big(\widetilde{\theta} \circ j(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)\big) \\ &= F(\varphi) + \lambda F(\widetilde{\varphi})\end{aligned}$$

which proves linearity of F in the second component. □

For simplicity, from now on we will drop the hats in $\widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}$ and $\widehat{\langle X_n \rangle}_k$ etcetera and bear in mind that the values of our functionals only depend on monomials without repetitions of indeterminates.

Proposition 4.3. (a) *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(M, l) \in \langle X_n \rangle \times [d]$, $|M| \leq n$, and let $k \in [n]$ with $x_k \in \operatorname{set} M$.*
If $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle_k^{*d}$ agree on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n \setminus \operatorname{set} M \rangle^d$, then we have

$$\alpha_k^{(M,l)}(\varphi) = \alpha_k^{(M,l)}(\psi).$$

The functions $\alpha_k^{(M,l)} : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \setminus \operatorname{set} M \rangle^{*d} \to \mathbb{C}$ are the same for all $k \in \operatorname{set} M$.
(b) *In particular, if $|M| = n$, we have that $\alpha_k^{(M,l)}$ is constant and does not depend on k.*

Proof: Only for $d = 1$.
(a) : Let $k, p \in [n]$ with $x_k, x_p \in \operatorname{set} M$. By Proposition 4.2 we have for $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle^*$

$$\begin{aligned}F(\varphi) &= \sum_{K \in \langle X_n \rangle^{(k)}} \alpha_k^{(K)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle_k)\varphi(K) \\ &= \sum_{P \in \langle X_n \rangle^{(p)}} \alpha_p^{(P)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle_p)\varphi(P).\end{aligned}$$

Splitting each of the two sums we have

$$\alpha_k^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_k)\varphi(M) + \sum_{\substack{K\in\langle X_n\rangle^{(k)}\\ K\neq M}} \alpha_k^{(K)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_k)\varphi(K)$$
$$= \alpha_p^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_p)\varphi(M) + \sum_{\substack{P\in\langle X_n\rangle^{(p)}\\ P\neq M}} \alpha_p^{(P)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_p)\varphi(P)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\alpha_k^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_k) - \alpha_p^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_p)\big)\varphi(M) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{P\in\langle X_n\rangle^{(p)}\\ P\neq M}} \alpha_p^{(P)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_p)\varphi(P) \\ &\qquad - \sum_{\substack{K\in\langle X_n\rangle^{(k)}\\ K\neq M}} \alpha_k^{(K)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_k)\varphi(K).\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Since $x_k, x_l \in \operatorname{set} M$ we have $M \notin \langle X_n\rangle_l \cup \langle X_n\rangle_k$, which means that the right hand side of (4.5) does not depend on $\varphi(M)$ for each choice of $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^*$. From this it follows that

$$\alpha_k^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_k) = \alpha_p^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_p) \tag{4.6}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^*$.

Now let $\operatorname{set} M = \{x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l}\}$, $|M| = l$, $1 \leq l < n$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^*$. We will show that

$$\alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}) = \alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\psi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1})$$

where ψ is the linear functional on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ which agrees with φ on elements of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n \backslash \operatorname{set} M\rangle$ and is 0 on other elements of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$. Change φ to $\varphi_1 \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^*$ by putting

$$\varphi_1(W) = \begin{cases} \varphi(W) & \text{if } W \in \langle X_n\rangle_{i_1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Then, of course,

$$\alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}) = \alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi_1 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}).$$

Next define $\varphi_2 \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^*$ by

$$\varphi_2(W) = \begin{cases} \varphi_1(W) & \text{if } W \in \langle X_n\rangle_{i_1} \cap \langle X_n\rangle_{i_2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

By (4.6) and the fact that $\varphi_2 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_2} = \varphi_1 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi_2 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}) &= \alpha_{i_2}^{(M)}(\varphi_2 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_2}) \\ &= \alpha_{i_2}^{(M)}(\varphi_1 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_2}) \\ &= \alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi_1 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}).\end{aligned}$$

Go on by defining $\varphi_3, \dots \varphi_{l-1}$ and finally $\varphi_l = \psi$ by

$$\varphi_l(W) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{l-1}(W) & \text{if } W \in \langle X_n\rangle_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap \langle X_n\rangle_{i_l} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi_l \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}) &= \alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi_{l-1} \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}) \\ &\vdots \\ &= \alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi_1 \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}) \\ &= \alpha_{i_1}^{(M)}(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle_{i_1}).\end{aligned}$$

This is the first statement of **(a)** if we choose $i_1 = k$, the second following directly from (4.6). □

Put $\alpha^{(M,l)} := \alpha_k^{(M,l)}$, $k \in \operatorname{set} M$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $(M, l) \in \langle X_n\rangle \times [d], n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
Then the mapping

$$\alpha^{(M,l)} : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \setminus \operatorname{set} M\rangle^{*d} \to \mathbb{C}$$

(where $\alpha^{(M;l)} \in \mathbb{C}$ if $|M| = n$) is generating of degree $n - |M|$ and index d.

Proof: $(d = 1)$
Let $X_n \setminus \operatorname{set} M = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}\}$ and let $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ be an object of AlgP. Moreover, let $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r} \in \mathcal{A}$. We must show that

$$(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}) \mapsto \alpha^{(M)}\big(\varphi \circ j(a_{i_1}, \dots a_{i_r})\big)$$

is r-linear.

We have

$$\mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_2 \\ |\varepsilon| \geq 2}} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$$

with $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$. Let $\widetilde{\varphi}$ be the linear functional on $\mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ which equals φ on $\mathcal{A}$, δ_M on $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ and which is 0 on $\bigoplus_{|\varepsilon|\geq 2} \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. Denote by $j : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle \to \mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ the algebra homomorphism with

$$j(x_i) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i \in X_n \setminus \operatorname{set} M \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $k \in \operatorname{set} M$. By Propositions 4.2 and 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} &F(\widetilde{\varphi} \circ j) \\ &= \sum_{N \in \langle X_n\rangle^{(k)}} \alpha^{(N)}\big(\widetilde{\varphi} \circ j \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \setminus \operatorname{set} N\rangle\big)(\widetilde{\varphi} \circ j)(N). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\widetilde{\varphi} \circ j \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \setminus \operatorname{set} M\rangle = \varphi \circ j(a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_r})$$

and $(\widetilde{\varphi} \circ j)(N) = \delta_M(N)$ for $N \in \langle X_n\rangle^{(k)}$. Equation (4.7) becomes

$$F(\widetilde{\varphi} \circ j) = \alpha^{(M)}\big(\varphi \circ j(a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_r})\big).$$

The left side of this equation is r-linear in $a_{i_1}, \ldots a_{i_r}$ because F is generating of degree n. □

Proof of Lemma 4.1:
For $n = 1$ we have by Proposition 4.2

$$F(\varphi) = \alpha^{(x,1)}\varphi^{(1)}(x) + \ldots + \alpha^{(x,d)}\varphi^{(d)}(x)$$

which is (4.4) with $\alpha_{\{(x,l)\}} = \alpha^{(x,l)}$. To prove the lemma for $n+1$ under the assumption that it holds for natural numbers $\leq n$, we use again Proposition 4.2 to write (with the obvious interpretation if $|M| = n+1$)

$$F(\varphi) = \sum_{(M,l) \in \langle X_{n+1}\rangle^{(1)} \times [d]} \alpha^{(M,l)}\big(\varphi \restriction \mathbb{C}\langle X_{n+1} \setminus \operatorname{set} M\rangle^d\big)\, \varphi^{(l)}(M) \tag{4.8}$$

which by the induction hypothesis (4.4) equals

$$\sum_{(M,l)} \Big(\sum_{\pi} \alpha_\pi^{(M,l)} \prod_{(N,r)\in\pi} \varphi^{(r)}(N)\Big)\varphi^{(l)}(M) \tag{4.9}$$

where the first sum is over all (M,l) in $\langle X_{n+1}\rangle^{(1)} \times [d]$ and the second over all π in $\mathcal{M}_d(X_{n+1} \setminus \operatorname{set} M)$, and where $\alpha_\pi^{(M,l)}$ are suitable complex numbers.

By $(N, r) \in \pi$ we mean that (N, r) appears in π as a factor. The product in (4.9) is nothing else but $\mathrm{S}(\varphi)(\pi)$. Each π in $\mathcal{M}_d(n+1)$ has a unique factor (M_π, l_π) such that $x_1 \in \operatorname{set} M_\pi$. Therefore, the above expression (4.9) is equal to

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_d(n+1)} \alpha^{(M_\pi, l_\pi)}_{\pi \setminus \{(M_\pi), l_\pi)\}} \prod_{(M,l) \in \pi} \varphi^{(l)}(M)$$

which gives (4.4) for degree $n+1$. □

Finally, we reformulate Lemma 4.1. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vector space and $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})$ the symmetric tensor algebra over $\mathcal{V}$; see Section 1.

Proposition 4.5. *For a generating map F of degree n and index d there exist unique mappings*

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}^n \to \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}^d) = \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A})^{\otimes d},\ \mathcal{A} \text{ an algebra}$$

such that

$$F\big(\varphi \circ j(a_1, \ldots, a_n)\big) = \big(\mathrm{S}(\varphi) \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}\big)(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$$

for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$.

Proof: Uniqueness is clear, because a polynomial over the field of complex numbers is uniquely determined by its polynomial function and we have that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is a complex polynomial of the polynomial algebra $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}^d)$.

Given F put $(M(a_1, \ldots, a_n) := j(a_1, \ldots, a_n)(M))$

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_d(n)} \alpha_\pi \prod_{(M,l) \in \pi} M(a_1, \ldots a_n)^{(l)}$$

where the product $\prod$ is taken in $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}^d)$ and for $l \in [d]$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $a^{(l)}$ the embedding of a into the l-th coordinate in $\mathcal{A}^d \subset \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}^d)$. □

The algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra if we put the grade of a polynomial $m \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle$ equal to $|M|$. The symmetric algebra $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{V})$ over an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space $\mathcal{V}$ is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra in the natural manner. If we equip $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle^d$ with the $\mathbb{N}$-grading of the direct sum, the algebra $\mathrm{S}(\mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle^d)$ becomes an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded algebra. We reformulate 4.1 to obtain

Theorem 4.1. *There is a bijection $P \mapsto F_P$ between polynomials P in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{M}_d(n)$ and generating maps F of degree n and index d, which is given by*

$$F_P(\varphi) = \mathrm{S}(\varphi)(P),\ \varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle^{*d}$$
$$P = \sigma_{\mathbb{C}\langle X_n \rangle}(x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

5 Generating families and positivity

A *generating family* of index d over a set I is a family $\mathbf{F} = (F_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in\mathbb{A}(I)}$ where F_ε is a generating map of degree $n = |\varepsilon|$ and index d. In the case $I = [k]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we will speak of a k-fold generating family. For an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$ we let $\mathbf{F}(\varphi)$ be the linear functional on $\mathcal{A}^{\sqcup I}$ (see (1.2)) given by $(a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon)$

$$\mathbf{F}(\varphi)(a_1 \dots a_m) = F_\varepsilon\big(\varphi \circ j(a_1, \dots, a_m)\big).$$

Remark 5.1. A generating family is a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{AlgP}_d \to \mathrm{AlgP}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) &= \big(\mathcal{A}^{\sqcup I}, \mathcal{F}(\varphi)\big)\\ \mathcal{F}(j) &= j^{\sqcup I};\end{aligned}$$

cf. (4.1) and (4.2).

We say that the family $\mathbf{F}$ is *positive* if the following holds. For each $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and strongly positive φ (see Section 1) on $\mathcal{A}^d$ we have that $\mathbf{F}(\varphi)$ is positive on the $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\sqcup I}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{OM}_d(n)$ the subset of $\mathcal{M}_d(n)$ of 'right' ordered elements of $\mathcal{M}_d(n)$, i.e. of elements

$$(M_1, k_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (M_l, k_l),\ M_r = x_{i(r,1)} \dots x_{i(r,s_r)}$$

with $i(r, 1) < \ldots < i(r, s_r)$, $r \in [l]$. Elements of $\mathcal{M}_d(n) \setminus \mathcal{OM}_d(n)$ are called *wrong-ordered*.

Remark 5.2. The result of the following theorem in the positive case is due to N. Muraki; see [Mur13]. Notice that the vanishing of the 'wrong-ordered coefficients' $\alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)}$, $\pi \notin \mathcal{OM}_d$, follows from positivity without any further assumptions, e.g. associativity conditions.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $\mathbf{F}$ *be a generating family of index* d *over a set* I *and let* $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}(I)$.
(a) *Then there are uniquely determined constants* $\alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\pi \in \mathcal{M}_d(n)$, *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{F}(\varphi)(a_1 \dots a_n)\\ &= \sum_{\pi\in\mathcal{M}_d(n)} \alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)} \prod_{(M,l)\in\pi} \varphi^{(l)}\big(j(a_1, \dots, a_n)(M)\big)\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$, $a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$.

(b) *If* $\mathbf{F}$ *is positive, then there are uniquely determined constants* $\alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\pi \in \mathcal{OM}_d(n)$, *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{F}(\varphi)(a_1 \dots a_n)\\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{OM}_d(m)} \alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)} \prod_{(M,l)\in\pi} \varphi^{(l)}\big(j(a_1,\dots,a_n)(M)\big)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{*d}$, $a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$.

Proof: (a) follows from Lemma 4.1, since F_ε is generating of degree $n = |\varepsilon|$.

For (b) we give a sketch of the proof in the case $d = 1$, which copies the argument in [Mur13]. Let $\mathbf{F}$ be a positive generating family. Then we have the formula for $\mathbf{F}$ given in **(a)** with constants $\alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_I$, $\pi \in \mathcal{M}(n)$. We must show that positivity implies $\alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)} = 0$ for $\pi \notin \mathcal{OM}(n)$.

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{A}(I)$, $|\tau| = n$ and let $\eta = M_1, \cdot \dots \cdot M_r \notin \mathcal{OM}(n)$. Then we may assume that M_1 is wrong ordered. Consider the Hilbert space

$$H = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{r} \mathbb{C}^{d_s};\ d_s = |M_s|$$

and denote by $\{e_1^{(s)}, \dots, e_{d_s}^{(s)}\}$ the canonical orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{d_s}$ as a subspace of H. Put $\Omega = e_1^{(1)} + \dots + e_1^{(r)}$. Define a representation ρ on H of the $*$-algebra

$$\mathbb{C}\langle n, * \rangle := \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \rangle$$

generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$ by putting $\rho(x_i)e_l^{(s)}$ equal to 0 unless $x_i \in \text{set } M_s$ *and* x_i equals the $(l-1)$-st factor in the monomial M_s. In the latter case we put $\rho(x_i)e_l^{(s)} = e_{l-1}^{(s)}$. Here l and $l-1$ are to be understood modulo d_s. Let φ be the strongly positive linear functional on $\mathbb{C}\langle n, * \rangle$ given by

$$\varphi(T) = \langle \Omega, \rho(T)\Omega \rangle,\ T \in \mathbb{C}\langle n, * \rangle.$$

One checks that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}(n)} \alpha_\pi^{(\tau)} \prod_{M\in\pi} \varphi(M) = \alpha_\eta^{(\tau)}. \tag{5.2}$$

It follows from (5.1) with $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\langle n, * \rangle$, $\varepsilon = \tau$, $a_i = x_i$, $i \in [n]$, and from (5.2) that we have

$$\alpha_\eta^{(\tau)} = F_\tau(\varphi)(x_1 \dots x_n).$$

Since M_1 is wrong ordered there are $p, q \in [n]$, $p < q$, such that

$$M_1 = x_{i(1)} \dots x_q \dots x_p \dots x_{i(d_1)}$$

Now we use that $\mathbf{F}$ is positive. Since φ strongly positive on $\mathbb{C}\langle n, *\rangle$, we have that $\mathbf{F}(\varphi)$ is positive on $(\mathbb{C}\langle n, *\rangle)^{\sqcup I}$. By Cauchy-Schwartz

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbf{F}(\varphi)(x_1 \dots x_p \dots x_q \dots x_n)|^2 \\ &\quad \leq \mathbf{F}(\varphi)\big((x_1 \dots x_p)(x_1 \dots x_p)^*\big) \\ &\qquad \mathbf{F}(\varphi)\big((x_{p+1} \dots x_q \dots x_n)^*(x_{p+1} \dots x_q \dots x_n)\big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Next, again by (5.1), this time for

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\langle n, *\rangle,\ \varepsilon = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_p, \tau_{p-1}, \dots, \tau_1),\ n = 2p-1,$$
$$(a_1, \dots, a_{2p-1}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}, x_p x_p^*, x_{p-1}^*, \dots, x_1^*),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F(\varphi)(x_1 \dots x_p\, x_p^* \dots x_1^*) \\ &\quad = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}(2p-1)} \alpha_\pi^{(\varepsilon)} \prod_{M \in \pi} \varphi\big(j(x_1, \dots, x_p x_p^*, \dots, x_1^*)(M)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{M}(2p-1)$. Then there is a unique $M \in \pi$ with $p \in \operatorname{set} M$. Since $q > p$, the indeterminate x_q cannot appear as a factor of the monomial

$$K = j(x_1, \dots, x_p x_p^*, \dots, x_1^*)(M).$$

One checks that

$$\langle \Omega, \rho(K)\Omega\rangle = \langle e_1^{(1)}, \rho(K) e_1^{(1)}\rangle = 0$$

which means that (5.4) and thus (5.3) is 0, from which it follows that the coefficient of the wrong ordered partition η is 0. □

We apply Theorem 5.1 to universal products. For $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}(I)$, $i \in I$, put $(n = |\varepsilon|)$

$$X^{(i)} = \{x_l \in X_n \,|\, \varepsilon_l = i\}.$$

We associate with a universal product in AlgP_d and $k \in [d]$ a generating family $\mathbf{F}^{(k)} = (F_\varepsilon^{(k)})_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}(I)}$ of index d over I by putting

$$F_\varepsilon^{(k)}(\varphi) := \big(\odot_{i \in I}\, \varphi_i\big)^{(k)}(\iota_{\varepsilon_1}(x_1) \dots \iota_{\varepsilon_n}(x_n))$$

where $\iota_i : \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle \to \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^{\sqcup I}$ is the natural embedding of an i-th copy of $\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle$ and where $\varphi_i : \mathbb{C}\langle X^{(i)}\rangle^* \to \mathbb{C}$ is the restriction of $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^*$.

It follows from Theorem 5.1 and the fact that

$$F_\varepsilon(\varphi) = \big(F_\varepsilon^{(1)}(\varphi), \dots, F_\varepsilon^{(d)}(\varphi)\big)$$

does not depend on the values of φ on monomials from mixtures of $\mathbb{C}\langle X^{(i)}\rangle$, $i \in I$, that we have the following result which we only write down for the case $I = [k]$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\odot$ be a universal product in AlgP_d over $I = [k]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}_k$. Moreover, let $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), \ldots, (\mathcal{A}_k, \varphi_k)$ be k objects in AlgP_d, and let $a_1 \ldots a_n \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$.*
Then there exist uniquely (by $\odot$) determined constants $\alpha^{(\varepsilon)}_{\pi_1,\ldots\pi_k} \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $\pi_i \in \mathcal{M}_d(X^{(i)})$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\odot_{i=1}^k \varphi_i\big)(a_1 \ldots a_n) \qquad (5.5)\\ &= \sum_{\pi_1 \in \mathcal{M}_d(X^{(1)})} \cdots \sum_{\pi_k \in \mathcal{M}_d(X^{(k)})} \alpha^{(\varepsilon)}_{\pi_1,\ldots\pi_k} \\ &\qquad \prod_{(M_1,l_1)\in\pi_1} \varphi_1^{(l_1)}\big(j(a_1,\ldots,a_n)(M_1)\big) \ldots \\ &\qquad\qquad \ldots \prod_{(M_k,l_k)\in\pi_k} \varphi_k^{(l_k)}\big(j(a_1,\ldots,a_n)(M_k)\big).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.3. The version of Theorem 5.2 for a universal product $\odot$ in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ formally looks the same, but $\mathbb{A}_k$ has to be replaced by $\mathbb{A}([k] \times [m])$ and for $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$, $\varepsilon_i = (\varepsilon_{i,1}, \varepsilon_{i,2}) \in [k] \times [m]$, $i \in [k]$, we put

$$X^{(i)} = \{x_l \in X_n \,|\, \varepsilon_{l,1} = i\}.$$

Remark 5.4. A universal product is called *positive* if the corresponding generating families are positive. It follows from Theorem 5.1 (b) that, for positive universal products, in Theorem 5.2 $\mathcal{M}_d$ can be replaced by $\mathcal{OM}_d$.

Remark 5.5. It is an open problem to prove the 'Schoenberg correspondence', cf. [SV14] for the case $d = m = 1$, for positive u.a.u-products in the general $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ case, i.e. the correspondence between conditionally positive d-tuples of linear functionals on $D^{\sqcup m}$, D a dual semi-group with involution, and convolution semi-groups of d-tuples of states on $D^{\sqcup m}$. It should suffice to show the correspondence in the cases $D = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ by constructing a representation of the quantum Lévy process on a suitable 'Fock space', the general case then following from an approximation argument like in [SV14].

6 The Lachs functor

Using the central Lemma 4.1, we will 'reduce' a pair $(\mathcal{D}, \odot)$, $\mathcal{D}$ a dual group, $\odot$ a u.a.u.-product, to a commutative bialgebra.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\odot$ be a universal product in* $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$.
Then there exist unique mappings

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2} : (\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2)^d \to \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_2)^{\otimes d}$$

such that

$$(\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) = \big(\mathrm{S}(\varphi_1) \otimes \mathrm{S}(\varphi_2)\big) \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2} \tag{6.1}$$

Proof: Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}([2] \times [m])$, $a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}(a_1 \dots a_n) \\ &= \sum_{\pi_1 \in \mathcal{M}_d(X^{(1)})} \sum_{\pi_2 \in \mathcal{M}_d(X^{(2)})} \alpha^{(\varepsilon)}_{\pi_1,\pi_2} \\ &\qquad \Big(\prod_{(M_1,l_1)\in\pi_1} M_1(a_1,\dots,a_n)^{(l_1)} \Big) \otimes \Big(\prod_{(M_2,l_2)\in\pi_2} M_2(a_1,\dots,a_n)^{(l_2)} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

with the constants $\alpha^{(\varepsilon)}_{\pi_1,\pi_2} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ of (5.5) of Theorem 5.2 ($k = 2$). The right hand side of (6.2) is a d-tuple of elements of $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_2)^{\otimes d}$ so that (6.2) defines a mapping from $(\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2)^d$ to $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_1)^{\otimes d} \otimes \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_2)^{\otimes d}$. Equation (6.1) is (5.5). □

Proposition 6.2. **(a)** *The mappings $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}$ satisfy*

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2} \circ (j_1 \sqcup\!\sqcup j_2) = \big(\mathrm{S}(j_1) \otimes \mathrm{S}(j_2)\big) \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{B}_1,\mathcal{B}_2}. \tag{6.3}$$

(b) *The universal product is associative iff*

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_1)^{\otimes d}} \otimes \mathrm{S}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_3})\big) \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2\sqcup\mathcal{A}_3} \\ &\quad = \big(\mathrm{S}(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{A}_3)^{\otimes d}}\big) \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1\sqcup\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_3}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

(c) *The universal product is unital iff*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2} \circ \iota_1 &= \iota_{\mathcal{A}_1^d}, \\ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2} \circ \iota_2 &= \iota_{\mathcal{A}_2^d}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

(d) *The universal product is symmetric iff*

$$\tau \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{A}_1} = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{A}_2} \circ \tau \tag{6.6}$$

where the first τ is the tensor switch and the second τ is the natural identification of $\mathcal{A}_2 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_1$ with $\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2$.

Proof: Like for $d = m = 1$ in [Lac15] . $\square$

A *dual group* is a dual semi-group $\mathcal{D}$ such that there exists an algebra homomorphism A on $\mathcal{D}$ with $M\circ(\mathrm{id}\sqcup A)\circ\Delta = 0 = M\circ(A\sqcup\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta$, $M : \mathcal{D}\sqcup\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ the multiplication map of the algebra $\mathcal{D}$. The free product of two dual groups $(\mathcal{D}_1, \Delta_1)$ and $(\mathcal{D}_2, \Delta_2)$ is the dual group $\big(\mathcal{D}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{D}_2, \tau \circ (\Delta_1 \sqcup \Delta_2)\big)$ with τ the identification

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{D}_1) \sqcup (\mathcal{D}_2 \sqcup \mathcal{D}_2) = (\mathcal{D}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{D}_2) \sqcup (\mathcal{D}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{D}_2)$$

Similarly, we define the free product of m dual semi-groups and the m-fold free product $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m}, \Delta^{\sqcup m})$ of a dual semi-group with itself. For a u.a.u.-product $\odot$ in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ and for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in (\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d} = \big((\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^d\big)^*$ we define the convolution product of φ_1 and φ_2 as the element of $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$ given by

$$\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2 := (\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \circ \Delta^{\sqcup m}. \tag{6.7}$$

The space $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$ is a monoid with respect to convolution.

For the notions of coalgebras, bialgebras and Hopf algebras see, for example, [DNR01]. One shows (cf. [Lac15])

Theorem 6.1. (a) *The triplet*

$$\Big(\mathrm{S}\big((\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^d\big), \mathrm{S}(\sigma_{\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m},\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m}} \circ \Delta^{\sqcup m}), \mathrm{S}(0)\Big) \tag{6.8}$$

is a commutative bialgebra and

$$\mathrm{S}(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) = \mathrm{S}(\varphi_1) * \mathrm{S}(\varphi_2) \tag{6.9}$$

where $*$ on the left side of (6.9) is given by the comultiplication of the coalgebra (6.8).
(b) *If $\mathcal{D}$ is a dual group with antipode A, the bialgebra (6.8) is a Hopf algebra with antipode* $\mathrm{S}(A)$.

Remark 6.1. It has been pointed out by Stephanie Lachs [Lac15] that Theorem 6.1 in the case $d = m = 1$ establishes a cotensor functor (= colax monoidal functor) between the tensor category $(\mathrm{AlgP}, \odot)$ and the tensor category $(\mathrm{CUAlgP}, \otimes)$ where CUAlgP denotes the category of pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ wit $\mathcal{A}$ a commutative unital algebra and φ a normalized linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$. This result can now readily be extended to the general d, m-case to obtain a cotensor functor $\mathcal{L}_\odot$ from $(\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}, \odot)$ again to $(\mathrm{CUAlgP}, \otimes)$. Through this functor we can associate with each pair $(\mathcal{D}, \odot)$ with $\mathcal{D}$ a u.a.u.-product $\odot$ in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ the commutative bialgebra $\mathcal{L}_\odot(\mathcal{D})$ given by (6.8). If $\mathcal{D}$ is a dual group, $\mathcal{L}_\odot(\mathcal{D})$ is a commutative Hopf algebra. Moreover, the cotensor property of $\mathcal{L}_\odot$ also means that equation (6.9) holds.

Remark 6.2. If $\mathcal{D}$ is co-commutative and $\odot$ symmetric the bialgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\odot}(\mathcal{D})$ is co-commutative.

Remark 6.3. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a dual group. The convolution (6.7) defines a group structure on the set $(\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d}$. This follows from the facts that

$$\mathrm{S} : (\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{*d} \to \mathrm{S}\big((\mathcal{D}^{\sqcup m})^{d}\big)^{*}$$

is injective and $\mathrm{S}(\varphi)$ as a homomorphism on a Hopf algebra is invertible; cf. [FM15].

A dual semi-group $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be $\mathbb{N}$-graded if $\mathcal{D}$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded algebra and Δ is homogeneous of degree 0.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded dual semi-group and let $\odot$ be a u.a.u.-product on $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$.*
Then the bialgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\odot}(\mathcal{D})$ of Theorem 6.1 is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded bialgebra.

Proof: Follows from Theorem 5.2. □

Remark 6.4. In fact, the bialgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\odot}(\mathcal{D})$ in Theorem 6.2 is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded *Hopf* algebra; see Remark 7.1.

Example 4. We consider the case of the dual semi-group

$$\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}), \mathrm{T}(\iota_1 \oplus \iota_2)\big)$$

where $\iota_{1/2} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ denote the embeddings of $\mathcal{V}$ into the first and the second summand of $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, i.e.

$$\mathrm{T}(\iota_1 \oplus \iota_2) : \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{V}) \cong \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) \sqcup \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$$

is the algebra homomorphism such that

$$\mathrm{T}(\iota_1 \oplus \iota_2)(v) = \iota_1(v) + \iota_2(v),\ v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

This actually is a dual *group* with the antipode $\mathrm{T}(\mu)$, $\mu(v) = -v$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$. We also assume the vector space $\mathcal{V}$ to be $\mathbb{N}$-graded

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}^{(n)}.$$

The tensor algebra $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})$ inherits the grading in the unique way to become an $\mathbb{N}$-graded dual group. For example, if $\mathcal{V}$ is the linear span of $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ we have $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ and a possible grading is the usual grade of a non-commutative polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle n\rangle$ which comes from the grading with $\mathcal{V}^{(1)} = \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{V}^{(n)} = \{0\}$, $n \neq 1$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded vector space.*
Then the bialgebra $\mathcal{L}_{\odot}\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)$ is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra.

7 $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded bialgebras

We come to some general considerations on $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded bialgebras. First let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \delta)$ be an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded coalgebra so that we have

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}^{(n)},$$
$$\Delta \mathcal{C}^{(n)} \subset \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \big(\mathcal{C}^{(k)} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{(n-k)}\big).$$

Then the counit δ vanishes on $\mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ for $n \neq 0$. Put

$$\mathcal{C}^*_\delta := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^* \,|\, \alpha \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}^{(0)} = \delta \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}^{(0)}\}.$$

For $c \in \mathcal{C}^{(n)}$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1} \in \mathcal{C}^*_\delta$ we have

$$\big((\alpha_1 - \delta) \star \ldots \star (\alpha_{n+1} - \delta)\big)(c) = 0.$$

The subspace

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)} := \bigoplus_{l=0}^{n} \mathcal{C}^{(l)}$$

is a sub-coalgebra of $\mathcal{C}$ and $(\alpha_1 - \delta) \star \ldots \star (\alpha_{n+1} - \delta)$ vanishes on $\mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)}$. In particular, $(\alpha - \delta)^{\star(n+1)}$ vanishes on $\mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^*_\delta$, i.e.

$$(\alpha - \delta) \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)}$$

is nilpotent. Therefore, we may form the logarithmic series

$$\ln_\star \alpha := \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+1} \frac{(\alpha - \delta)^{\star l}}{l}, \tag{7.1}$$

first on $\mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)}$, then on the whole of $\mathcal{C}$ so that (7.1) can be understood pointwise. We defined a mapping $\ln_\star : \mathcal{C}^*_\delta \to \mathcal{C}^*$.

For $\beta \in \mathcal{C}^*$ we form the convolution exponential

$$\exp_\star \beta := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{\star l}}{l!} \in \mathcal{C}^* \tag{7.2}$$

which converges pointwise by the fundamental theorem on coalgebras; see [DNR01] and [SV14]. Since $\ln_\star \alpha \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}^{(0)} = 0$, the linear functional $\ln_\star \alpha$

must be nilpotent on $\mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)}$, and the series (7.2) is a finite sum on $\mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)}$ for $\beta = \ln_\star \alpha$. It follows from a formal power series argument that we have

$$\exp_\star(\ln_\star \alpha) = \alpha$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^*_\delta$. As $\exp_\star \beta \in \mathcal{C}^*_\delta$ if $\beta \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}^{(0)} = 0$, we have

$$\ln_\star(\exp_\star \beta) = \beta$$

for $\beta \in \mathcal{C}^*$ with $\beta \upharpoonright \mathcal{C}^{(0)} = 0$. Again by nilpotency and a formal power series argument, we have for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{C}^*_\delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ln_\star(\alpha_1 \star \alpha_2) \\
&= \mathrm{CBH}(\ln_\star \alpha_1, \ln_\star \alpha_2) \\
&= \ln_\star \alpha_1 + \ln_\star \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}[\ln_\star \alpha_1, \ln_\star \alpha_2]_\star \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{12}[\ln_\star \alpha_1, [\ln_\star \alpha_1, \ln_\star \alpha_2]_\star]_\star + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

where $\mathrm{CBH}(x, y)$ denotes the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff expansion

$$\mathrm{CBH}(x, y) = \ln(\mathrm{e}^x \, \mathrm{e}^y) \in \mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle$$

for formal power series. (Notice that the series (7.3) is again finite on $\mathcal{C}^{(\leq n)}$.)

We now assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra with $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. In this case $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^*_\delta$ means that α is a normalized linear functional on $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. $\alpha(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Remark 7.1. If $\mathcal{B}$ is an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded bialgebra with 1-dimensional 0-component, it automatically is an ($\mathbb{N}_0$-graded) Hopf algebra; see e.g. [Man08]. In fact, the antipode A is given by

$$\mathrm{A} = \exp_\star(-\mathrm{D}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathrm{D})^{\star l}}{l!} \tag{7.4}$$

with

$$\mathrm{D} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+1} \frac{(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} - \delta\mathbf{1})^{\star l}}{l} \tag{7.5}$$

where the series (7.4) and (7.5) are finite sums in $\mathcal{B}$ if evaluated at a point $b \in \mathcal{B}$. For a unital algebra homomorphism α from $\mathcal{B}$ to the complex numbers we have $\alpha \star (\alpha \circ A) = \delta$ so that α is always invertible with respect to convolution.

A linear functional β on an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is called a δ-derivation if

$$\beta(ab) = \beta(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)\beta(b) \tag{7.6}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. For $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{B}^*$ we put

$$[\beta_1, \beta_2]_\star = \beta_1 \star \beta_2 - \beta_2 \star \beta_1. \tag{7.7}$$

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra with $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Moreover, let $\alpha : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a unital algebra homomorphism and let $\beta, \beta_1, \beta_2 : \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}$ be δ-derivations.*
Then we have
(a) $\ln_\star \alpha$ *is a δ-derivation.*
(b) $\exp_\star \beta$ *is a unital algebra homomorphism.*
(c) $[\beta_1, \beta_2]_\star$ *is a δ-derivation.*

Proof: (a): Let $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ be homogeneous elements with $\deg(a) + \deg(b) = n$. Denote by T the restriction of

$$\big(\mathrm{id} \otimes (\alpha - \delta)\big) \circ \Delta = \big((\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha) \circ \Delta\big) - \mathrm{id}$$

to the sub-coalgebra $\mathcal{B}^{(\leq n)}$ of $\mathcal{B}$, which T leaves invariant. Then

$$T(ab) = (Ta)(Tb) + (Ta)(b) + aT(b)$$

and, more generally,

$$T^n(ab) = \big(M \circ (T \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes T + T \otimes T)^n\big)(a \otimes b)$$

with $M : \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ the multiplication of $\mathcal{B}$. Since T is nilpotent we can define

$$\ln(\mathrm{id} + T) := \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+1} \frac{T^l}{l}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\mathrm{id} + T)(ab) &= \big(M \circ \ln(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} + T \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes T + T \otimes T)\big)(a \otimes b) \\ &= \big(M \circ \big(\ln(\mathrm{id} + T) \otimes \mathrm{id} + \mathrm{id} \otimes \ln(\mathrm{id} + T)\big)\big)(a \otimes b) \\ &= \ln(\mathrm{id} + T)(a)(b) + a \ln(\mathrm{id} + T)(b) \end{aligned}$$

which is (7.6) if we apply δ.
(b): That $\exp_\star \beta$ is a unital algebra homomorphisms can be seen in a similar way.
(c): This follows from the fact that the commutator of two derivations is again a derivation. $\square$

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra with $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Then we have*
(a) *The set*

$$\mathrm{G}(\mathcal{B}) = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^* \,|\, \alpha \text{ is a unital algebra homomorphism}\}$$

forms a group with respect to convolution.
(b) *The set*

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{B}) = \{\beta \in \mathcal{B}^* \,|\, \beta \text{ is a } \delta\text{-derivation}\}$$

forms a Lie algebra with respect to the Lie bracket given by (7.7).

Remark 7.2. The mappings $\exp_\star : \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathrm{G}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\ln_\star : \mathrm{G}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{B})$ are inverses of each other. $\exp_\star$ plays the role of the exponential mapping of a Lie group; cf. [FM15].

8 Cumulants

Now we apply the above considerations on $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebras to the case of the $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra $\mathcal{L}_\odot\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)$ of Theorem 6.3 coming from a u.a.u.-product $\odot$ in the category $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ and a vector space $\mathcal{V}$, which is considered as $\mathbb{N}$-graded with $\mathcal{V}^{(1)} = \mathcal{V}$. Put $\mathcal{E} = \big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})^{\sqcup m}\big)^d$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^* = \big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})^{\sqcup m}\big)^{*d}$ we have that $\mathrm{S}(\varphi) \in \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{E})^*$ is an algebra homomorphism. Therefore, by Proposition 7.1, $\ln_\star\big(\mathrm{S}(\varphi)\big)$ is an $\mathrm{S}(0)$-derivation on $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{E})$. Such a derivation D is of the from $D = D(\psi)$ with $\psi = D \restriction \mathcal{E}$. Here we put

$$D(\psi) \restriction \mathcal{E}^{\otimes_s n} = \begin{cases} \psi & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^*$. We call

$$\ln_\odot(\varphi) := \ln_\star\big(\mathrm{S}(\varphi)\big) \restriction \mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{E}^*$$

the cumulant functional of φ and we call

$$\ln_\odot : \mathcal{E}^* \to \mathcal{E}^*$$

the cumulant mapping of $\odot$ for the vector space $\mathcal{V}$.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{E}^*$.*
Then we have

$$\ln_\odot(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) = \mathrm{CBH}\big(D(\ln_\odot(\varphi_1)), D(\ln_\odot(\varphi_2))\big) \restriction \mathcal{E}. \tag{8.1}$$

If the underlying universal product $\odot$ is symmetric, we have

$$\ln_\odot(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2) = \ln_\odot(\varphi_1) + \ln_\odot(\varphi_2) \tag{8.2}$$

Proof: The first part is (7.3). The second part follows from $D(\psi_1)+D(\psi_2) = D(\psi_1+\psi_2)$ and the fact that convolution in $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{E})^*$ is commutative if $\odot$ is symmetric. □

Example 5. The products given by the tensor, free, Boolean, c-free and bi-free independence are symmetric, so that in these cases we have the well-known additivity (8.2) of cumulants; cf. for example [FM15]. The monotonic independence of Muraki is not symmetric, which means that there may be terms of order ≥ 2 in the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff expansion (8.1); see again [FM15].

Remark 8.1. As we have seen, $\mathbb{C}$-valued unital algebra homomorphisms and $\mathrm{S}(0)$-derivations of $\mathcal{L}_\odot\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)^*$ both are given by an element of $\mathcal{E}^*$. We will write $\mathcal{E}^*_\mathcal{V}$ for $\mathcal{E}^*$ to emphasize the dependence on $\mathcal{V}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{G}\big(\mathcal{L}_\odot\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)\big)$ turns $\mathcal{E}^*_\mathcal{V}$ into a group and $\mathrm{L}\big(\mathcal{L}_\odot\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})\big)\big)$ turns $\mathcal{E}^*_\mathcal{V}$ into a Lie algebra. In this sense, the cumulant mapping $\ln_\odot$ is the restriction of $\ln_\star$ to $\mathcal{E}^*_\mathcal{V}$ and the restriction of $\exp_\star$ to $\mathcal{E}^*_\mathcal{V}$ is the inverse of $\ln_\odot$. Let us denote this inverse mapping by $\exp_\odot$.

We have seen (Theorems 6.3 and 7.1) that a u.a.u.-product on $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$ gives rise to Lie algebras $\mathrm{L}\big(\mathcal{L}_\odot(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}))\big) = \mathcal{E}^*_\mathcal{V}$ where $\mathcal{V}$ runs through all vector spaces. The following considerations show that the product $\odot$ can be reconstructed from this family of Lie algebras if we also know the cumulant mappings, which means that $\odot$ is determined by its cumulants and cumulant Lie algebras. First, the convolution of $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{E}^*_\mathcal{V}$ is given by

$$\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2 = \exp_\odot\big(\mathrm{CBH}(\ln_\odot \varphi_1, \ln_\odot \varphi_2)\big). \tag{8.3}$$

The right hand side of (8.3) can be calculated from the cumulant mappings and cumulant Lie algebras, i.e. we have the convolutions (6.7) of d-tuples of linear functionals on the dual groups $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V})^{\sqcup m} = \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}^m)$ associated with $\odot$. Now let

$$\big(\mathcal{A}_1, (\mathcal{A}_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_1^{(m)}), \varphi_1\big), \big(\mathcal{A}_2, (\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_2^{(m)}), \varphi_2\big)$$

be two objects in $\mathrm{AlgP}_{d,m}$. Put

$$j_i^{(l)} = \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_i^{(l)}}) : \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{A}_i^{(l)}) \to \mathcal{A}_i^{(l)}$$

$i = 1, 2$, $l \in [m]$, equal to the algebra homomorphism given by the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}_i^{(l)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2 &= (\varphi_1 \odot \varphi_2) \circ \big((j_1 \sqcup 0) \sqsubseteq (0 \sqcup j_2)\big) \circ \Delta^{\sqsubseteq m} \restriction (\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2)^d \\ &= \big(\varphi_1 \circ (j_1 \sqcup 0)\big) \odot \big(\varphi_2 \circ (0 \sqcup j_2)\big) \circ \Delta^{\sqsubseteq m} \restriction (\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2)^d \\ &= \big(\varphi_1 \circ (j_1 \sqcup 0)\big) \star \big(\varphi_2 \circ (0 \sqcup j_2)\big) \restriction (\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2)^d \subset \big(\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}^m)\big)^d \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

with $\star$ the convolution of d-tuples of linear functionals on the dual group $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{V}^m)$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{A}_1^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{A}_2^{(1)} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{A}_1^{(m)} \oplus \mathcal{A}_2^{(m)}$. Since an element of

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2 = (\mathcal{A}_1^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathcal{A}_1^{(m)}) \sqcup (\mathcal{A}_2^{(1)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \mathcal{A}_2^{(m)})$$

lies in a sub-algebra generated by a *finite* number of elements of $\mathcal{A}_i^{(l)}$, $i = 1, 2$, $l \in [m]$, a u.a.u.-product can be reconstructed from the Lie algebras and the cumulants in the cases $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that is $\odot$ can be reconstructed from the 'n-th order cumulants' and the 'n-th order cumulant Lie algebras' where n runs through all natural numbers. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}\big(\mathcal{L}_\odot\big(\mathrm{T}(\mathbb{C}^n)\big)\big) &= \big(\mathrm{T}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\sqcup m}\big)^{*d} \\
&= \big(\mathbb{C}\langle X_n\rangle^{\sqcup m}\big)^{*d} \\
&= \big(\mathbb{C}\langle X_{nm}\rangle\big)^{*d} \\
&= \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X_{nm}\rangle\rangle^d.
\end{aligned}$$

Put $\mathrm{L}_{\odot,n} = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X_{nm}\rangle\rangle^d$ and denote by

$$\ln_{\odot,n} : \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X_{nm}\rangle\rangle^d \to \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X_{nm}\rangle\rangle^d$$

the cumulant mapping for $\mathbb{C}^n$. We summarize

Theorem 8.2. *A u.a.u.-product $\odot$ can be reconstructed from the series*

$$(\ln_{\odot,n}, \mathrm{L}_{\odot,n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

More precisely, $\odot$ is given by (8.4) with the convolution $\star$ on $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle X_{nm}\rangle\rangle^{*d}$ defined by (8.3).

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Stephanie Lachs and Malte Gerhold for their interest and valuable comments and contributions.

References

[AHLV15] Octavio Arizmendi, Takahiro Hasebe, Franz Lehner, and Carlos Vargas. Relations between cumulants in noncommutative probability. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 282:56–92, 2015.

[AM10] Marcelo Aguiar and Swapneel Mahajan. *Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras*, volume 29 of *CRM Monograph Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[Ans11] Michael Anshelevich. Two-state free Brownian motions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(2):541–565, 2011.

[BGS02] Anis Ben Ghorbal and Michael Schürmann. Non-commutative notions of stochastic independence. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 133(3):531–561, 2002.

[BGS05] Anis Ben Ghorbal and Michael Schürmann. Quantum Lévy processes on dual groups. *Math. Z.*, 251(1):147–165, 2005.

[BLS96] Marek Bożejko, Michael Leinert, and Roland Speicher. Convolution and limit theorems for conditionally free random variables. *Pacific J. Math.*, 175(2):357–388, 1996.

[BS91] Marek Bożejko and Roland Speicher. ψ-independent and symmetrized white noises. In *Quantum probability & related topics*, QP-PQ, VI, pages 219–236. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991.

[DNR01] Sorin Dăscălescu, Constantin Năstăsescu, and Şerban Raianu. *Hopf algebras*, volume 235 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2001.

[FM15] Roland Friedrich and John McKay. Homogeneous Lie groups and quantum probability. *arXiv:1506.07089v1*, 2015.

[Fra06] Uwe Franz. Lévy processes on quantum groups and dual groups. In *Quantum independent increment processes II*, volume 1866 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 161–257. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[Ger14] Malte Gerhold. *On several problems in the theory of comonoidal systems and subproduct systems*. PhD thesis, Greifswald, 2014.

[GL15] Malte Gerhold and Stephanie Lachs. Classification and GNS-construction for general universal products. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 18(1):1550004, 29, 2015.

[Has11] Takahiro Hasebe. Conditionally monotone independence I: Independence, additive convolutions and related convolutions. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 14(3):465–516, 2011.

[HP84] R. L. Hudson and K. R. Parthasarathy. Quantum Ito's formula and stochastic evolutions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 93(3):301–323, 1984.

[HS11] Takahiro Hasebe and Hayato Saigo. The monotone cumulants. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 47(4):1160–1170, 2011.

[Lac15] Stephanie Lachs. *A new family of universal products and aspects of a non-positive quantum probability theory.* PhD thesis, Greifswald, 2015.

[Lu97] Y. G. Lu. An interacting free Fock space and the arcsine law. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 17(1, Acta Univ. Wratislav. No. 1928):149–166, 1997.

[Man08] Dominique Manchon. Hopf algebras in renormalisation. In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 5*, volume 5 of *Handb. Algebr.*, pages 365–427. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008.

[ML98] Saunders Mc Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

[Mur97] Naofumi Muraki. Noncommutative Brownian motion in monotone Fock space. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 183:557–570, 1997.

[Mur01] Naofumi Muraki. Monotonic independence, monotonic central limit theorem and monotonic law of small numbers. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 4:39–58, 2001.

[Mur02] Naofumi Muraki. The five independences as quasi-universal products. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 5(1):113–134, 2002.

[Mur03] Naofumi Muraki. The five independences as natural products. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 6(3):337–371, 2003.

[Mur13] Naofumi Muraki. A simple proof of the classification theorem for positive natural products. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, 33(2):315–326, 2013.

[Spe90] Roland Speicher. A new example of "independence" and "white noise". *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 84(2):141–159, 1990.

[Spe97] Roland Speicher. On universal products. In *Free probability theory (Waterloo, ON, 1995)*, volume 12 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 257–266. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[SV14] Michael Schürmann and Stefan Voß. Schoenberg correspondence on dual groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 328(2):849–865, 2014.

[Voi85] Dan-Virgil Voiculescu. Symmetries of some reduced free product C^*-algebras. In *Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buşteni, 1983)*, volume 1132 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 556–588. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[Voi87] Dan-Virgil Voiculescu. Dual algebraic structures on operator algebras related to free products. *J. Operator Theory*, 17:85–98, 1987.

[Voi14] Dan-Virgil Voiculescu. Free probability for pairs of faces I. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 332(3):955–980, 2014.

[vW73] Wilhelm von Waldenfels. An approach to the theory of pressure broadening of spectral lines. In M. Behara, K. Krickeberg, and J. Wolfowitz, editors, *Probability and Information Theory II*, number 296 in Lect. Notes Math. Springer, 1973.